

**To:** Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

**From:** Bill Leonard, CCC representative

**Subject: Revisions to instructions for additions to access points representing musical works with distinctive titles (6.28.1.10 and 6.28.1.10.1)**

CCC thanks the Music Working Group for this proposal. CCC's response is informed by the representative of the Canadian Association of Music Libraries (CAML) who is also a member of the Music Working Group. CCC supports the changes with a small typographical suggestion.

Change 1: Revisions to 6.28.1.10

Which version is preferred 1A or 1B?

CCC prefers version A. A phrase such as "Piano work" is another distinguishing characteristic and not a form of work.

Change 2: Adding exceptions to 6.28.1.10

Which version is preferred: Version 2A (Final Exception as Sentence) or version 2B (Final Exception as If/and/then statement, incorrectly identified as 2A)?

CCC prefers version A.

Change 3: Additional final paragraph for 6.28.1.10

CCC suggests the addition of a comma following the word "sufficient" in the added sentence.

If the additions are not made, are not sufficient, or are not available to distinguish the access points, see 6.28.1.10.1.

Changes 4 & 5:

CCC agrees with the changes.