

**To:** Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

**From:** Ebe Kartus, ACOC Representative

**Subject:** Revisions to instructions for additions to access points representing musical works with distinctive titles (6.28.1.10 and 6.28.1.10.1)

ACOC thanks the Music Working Group for this proposal and agrees that the proposed changes allow for more flexibility. Specific comments on suggested changes are outlined below.

**Change #1: Revisions to 6.28.1.10**

ACOC prefers 1B as “other distinguishing characteristic” is covered by 6.28.1.10. If 1A is adopted, we suggest splitting b) into b) and c), ie:

b)the form or work (see **6.3**)

*or*

c)another distinguishing characteristic of the work (see **6.6**)

**Change #2: Adding exceptions to 6.28.1.10**

ACOC prefers 2A as this reads slightly better.

**Change #3: Additional paragraph for 6.28.1.10**

ACOC agrees, but suggests re-phrasing the proposed paragraph as follows:

**Marked up copy for the clean copy:**

If the additions are not made, or are not sufficient ~~or are not available~~ to distinguish the access points, see **6.28.1.10.1**.

**Clean copy:**

If the additions are not made, or are not sufficient to distinguish the access points, see **6.28.1.10.1**.

**Change #4: Revisions to 6.28.1.10.1**

ACOC agrees to this change with minor suggested amendments:

- a. Re-phrase the introductory sentence as outlined in our response to **Change #3**.
- b. Option f) should be re-worded as follows to match the title of the instruction to which is refers (**6.6**). We also feel that retaining the example of name of the first publisher in this revised context is unnecessary and potentially misleading, and suggest it should be removed.

**Marked up copy for the clean copy:**

If the additions at **6.28.1.10** are not made, are not sufficient or are not available to distinguish the access points, add one or more of the following:

- a) a numeric designation (see **6.16**)
  - b) key (see **6.17**)
  - c) the year of completion of composition (see **6.4**)
  - d) the year of original publication (see **6.4**)
  - e) the place of composition (see **6.5**)
- and/or***
- f) any other ~~identifying element~~ distinguishing characteristics (see **6.6**), such as the name of the first publisher.

**EXAMPLE**

[remains the same]

**Clean copy:**

If the additions at **6.28.1.10** are not made, are not sufficient or are not available to distinguish the access points, add one or more of the following:

- a) a numeric designation (see **6.16**)
  - b) key (see **6.17**)
  - c) the year of completion of composition (see **6.4**)
  - d) the year of original publication (see **6.4**)
  - e) the place of composition (see **6.5**)
- and/or***
- f) any other distinguishing characteristics (see **6.6**), such as the name of the first publisher.

**EXAMPLE**

[remains the same]

ACOC also notes that 6.28.1.9.4 has similar wording to 6.28.1.10.1, and suggests that it should also be revised to match the proposed change and ACOC's suggested re-wording.

**Change #5: Additional examples**

ACOC prefers 5B, in line with our preference for 1B.

**Other changes in RDA**

As noted under **Change #4**, 6.28.1.9.4 should be re-worded to match the proposed changes to 6.28.1.10.1