

MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 2014 MEETING

Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

American Library Association – Washington Office  
Washington, D.C., USA  
3-7 November 2014

[*Note:* Does not include Executive Sessions or Closed Session]

TABLE of CONTENTS

*Executive Session 1*

462	Liaison with the Committee of Principals of RDA	8
463	Liaison with the Co-Publishers of RDA	8
464	Executive position of Examples Editor	8
465	Status of 2013 JSC actions	8
466	Formal recognition of individuals and groups contributing to the development of RDA	8
467	Reports from JSC constituencies	8

*End of Executive Session 1*

*Closed Session*

468	CoP governance review and RDA	8
-----	-------------------------------	---

*End of Closed Session*

469	Beginning of the public session	8
470	Approval of the agenda	8
471	Minutes of the previous meeting held November 2013	8
472	Next meeting in 2015	8
473	Chair 2016-2018	8
474	Report: Chair	9
475	Reports: JSC working groups	9
476	Report: Examples Editor	9
477	Reports: liaisons with external groups	10
478	Structural issues: Toolkit structure and content	11
479	Structural issues: Examples in Toolkit	11

480	Structural issues: 6JSC/BL/17 (Changes to Appendix D.0 and D.1.3.1)	11
481	Structural issues: 6JSC/LC/31 (Revisions to instructions on Parts of the Bible (6.23.2.9.2–6.23.2.9.5 and 6.23.2.9.7))	12
482	Structural issues: 5JSC/Policy/3 (Glossary principles)	13
483	Models: FRBR consolidation	13
484	Models: 6JSC/BL/21 (Fictitious Families and Corporate Bodies (Revision of RDA 10.0, 10.3.1.3, 10.11.1.2, 11.0, 11.7.1.4, 11.13.1.2))	13
485	Models: 6JSC/DNB/Discussion/2 (Discussion paper: Mixture of work level and manifestation level in RDA 2.3.2.6 (Collective Title and Titles of Individual Contents), Optional Additions))	13
486	Models: 6JSC/DNB/Discussion/3 (Discussion paper: Hidden relationships in attributes (examples: RDA 9.4.1.4.2, 9.13, 10.6, 11.3, 16.2.2))	14
487	Models: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 (Meta-metadata elements in RDA)	14
488	Models: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2 (Note and related elements in RDA)	15
489	Models: 6JSC/BL/15/rev (Revision of 0.6 Core Elements)	15
490	Entities: Subject: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/3 (High-level subject relationship in RDA)	15
491	Entities: Subject: 6JSC/ALA/31 (Subject Relationship Element in RDA Chapter 23)	16
492	Entities: Place: 6JSC/BL/22/rev (Place Associated with the Corporate Body (Revision of RDA 11.3.1, 11.13.1.3))	17
493	Entities: Place: 6JSC/LC/27 (Revision to RDA 16.2.2.8 (Place Names for Jurisdictions))	17
494	Entities: Place: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/4 (Court and Jurisdiction in RDA)	17
495	Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ISSN/5 (Change in mode of issuance for online resources: proposal for a revised instruction)	18
496	Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ALA/27 (Revision of RDA 2.12.9.2 and 2.12.17.2: Source of numbering within series and subseries)	18
497	Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/LC/28 (Revision to RDA 2.4.1.8 (Noun Phrase Occurring with a Statement of Responsibility))	18

498	Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ALA/32 (Expanding the scope of Statement of Responsibility in RDA 2.4 and eliminating the instructions for Performers, Narrators, Presenters (RDA 7.23), and Artistic and/or Technical Credits (RDA 7.24))	19
499	Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/BL rep/1 (Simplification of RDA 2.7-2.10)	19
500	Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/4 (Transcription issues associated with the Production Statement (RDA 2.7))	20
501	Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/ALA/29 (Clarifying core element status for “not identified” elements in the Distribution and Manufacture Statements (RDA 2.9 and 2.10))	20
502	Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/ALA/28 (Creating a priority order for Sources of Information in Date of Manufacture element (RDA 2.10.6.2))	21
503	Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ALA/33 (Clarifying instructions for Sequences of Plates (RDA 3.4.5.9))	21
504	Manifestations and Items: Base materials: 6JSC/BL/16 (Merging 3.6.1.3 Recording Base Material and 3.6.2 Base Material for Microfilm Microfiche, Photographic Film, and Motion Picture Film)	21
505	Manifestations and Items: Base material: 6JSC/MusicWG/9 (Additional terms for Base Material in RDA 3.6.1.3 and Applied Material in RDA 3.7.1.3)	22
506	Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/MusicWG/5 (Revision proposal for RDA 3.4.3.2 and RDA 3.21.2.5)	22
507	Works: titles: 6JSC/MusicWG/7 (Revision proposal for RDA 6.2.1.9, 6.14.2.7.1, Appendix B.3: Abbreviation for the part designation Number or its equivalent in another language)	23
508	Works: titles: 6JSC/Chair/15/rev/2 (Revision to 6.2.2.10 (Recording the Preferred Title for a Compilation of Works of One Person, Family, or Corporate Body) and 6.2.2.4 (Works created after 1500) (National Library of New Zealand))	23
509	Works: titles: 6JSC/LC/29 (Compilations of Works by Different Persons, Families, or Corporate Bodies (New 6.2.2.11))	24
510	Works: titles: 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/3/JSC response/EURIG response (Compilations of Works: Discussion paper)	25

511	Works: titles: 6JSC/LC/30 (Works without titles)	25
512	Works: titles: 6JSC/MusicWG/6 (Revision proposal for choosing and recording preferred titles for music in RDA 6.14.2.3–6.14.2.6)	26
513	Works: titles: 6JSC/MusicWG/8 (Revision proposal for conventional collective titles in RDA 6.14.2.8 and Glossary definitions for conventional collective titles and the term Type of Composition)	26
514	Works and Expressions: 6JSC/MusicWG/4 (Revision proposal for RDA 6.28.3, Authorized Access Point Representing a Musical Expression)	27
515	Works and Expressions: 6JSC/CILIP/4 (Colour content in RDA)	27
516	Works and Expressions: 6JSC/ALA/36 (Clarifying instructions for Recording Duration (RDA 7.22) and Note on Carrier (RDA 3.21))	28
517	Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/20 (Priority order for additions to authorized access points representing a person (Revision of RDA 9.19))	28
518	Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/18 ("Between", "Before" and "After" dates (Revision of RDA 9.3.1.3))	29
519	Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/19 (Post-nominal letters as Other Designation (Additional examples for RDA 9.6.1.9 and 9.19.1.7, and addition to Appendix E))	29
520	Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/23 (Field of Activity of the Corporate Body (Revision of RDA 11.10.1.3))	30
521	Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/24 (Full name as addition to Initialism or Acronym in Access Points for Corporate Bodies (Revision of RDA 11.13.1.2))	30
522	Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/CCC/Discussion/1 (Internationalization and RDA Appendix A Capitalization: Discussion Paper)	30
523	Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/ISSN/4 (Major and minor titles changes for serials in languages which do not divide text into words: proposal for new wording and instructions)	31
524	Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/ALA/35 (Creating instructions for using nominative case for titles (RDA 6.2), names (RDA 8.5), and places (RDA 16.2))	32
525	Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/CCC/15 (Add instructions to supply terms indicating the function recorded under the optional addition	32

	provisions at 2.7.4.4, 2.8.4.4, 2.9.4.4 or 2.10.4.4, in a language and script preferred by the cataloguing agency)	
526	Internationalization: documents: Bible: 6JSC/LC/31 (Revisions to instructions on Parts of the Bible (6.23.2.9.2–6.23.2.9.5 and 6.23.2.9.7))	33
527	Internationalization: documents: Bible: 6JSC/ALA/34 (Eliminating the instructions for Date of Expression of a Religious Work (RDA 6.24) and modifying the instructions for Authorized Access Point Representing an Expression of the Bible (RDA 6.30.3.2))	33
528	Internationalization: language of examples	34
529	Works: titles: 6JSC/ALA/30 (Using the mark of omission when recording titles (RDA 6.2))	34
530	Models: documents: 6JSC/ROFWG/1 (Proposals for a namespace for the Framework)	35
531	Models: documents: 6JSC/ROFWG/2 (JSC recommendations for extension and revision of the Framework)	35
532	Other unresolved Fast Track entries	35
533	Unresolved vocabulary entries	35
<i>Executive Session 2</i>		
534	FAQs for Toolkit and Registry	36
535	Checklist for preparing a proposal	36
536	Revisions to Policy/1	36
537	Revisions to Chair/7	36
538	Other issues from the week’s discussions	36
539	Outcomes from November 2014 meeting	36
<i>End of Executive Session 2</i>		
=====		
	Appendix for public minutes	37
	Appendix for restricted minutes	N/A

**Minutes:** of the forty-first meeting of the Committee held at the American Library Association Washington office, Washington, D.C., USA, 3-7 November 2014.

**Present:** **JSC**

Alan Danskin, British Library  
 Kathy Glennan, American Library Association  
 Galen Jones, Chartered Institute of Library and Information Professionals  
 (via Internet)  
 Ebe Kartus, Australian Committee on Cataloguing  
 William Leonard, Canadian Committee on Cataloguing  
 Susanne Oehlschläger, Deutsche Nationalbibliothek (attending for  
 Christine Frodl)  
 David Reser, Library of Congress  
 Gordon Dunsire, Chair  
 Kate James, Examples Editor  
 Judith Kuhagen, Secretary

**Committee of Principals of RDA**

Simon Edwards, Chair

**ALA Publishing**

James Hennelly, Managing Editor of RDA Toolkit, ALA Digital Reference

**Observers in attendance**

John Attig, Pennsylvania State University  
 Deborah Fritz, The MARC of Quality  
 Michi Hoban, Library of Congress (Nov. 6)  
 Damian Iseminger, New England Conservatory (Nov. 5-6)  
 Francis Lapka, Yale University (Nov. 4-5)  
 Maarja Vigorito, Library of Congress (Nov. 6)  
 Mary Wedgewood, Library of Congress (Nov. 6)  
 Valerie Weinberg, Library of Congress (Nov. 6)  
 Laura Yust, Library of Congress (Nov. 6)

[*Note:* For many proposals, there were significant post-meeting changes during email discussions of revised proposals or of Sec final drafts. Some of the subsequent changes including renumbering or deletion of instructions agreed to earlier during the meeting. If information about specific changes is desired, the appropriate JSC representative should be contacted.]

*Executive Session 1*

- 462 Liaison with the Committee of Principals of RDA**
- 463 Liaison with the Co-Publishers of RDA**
- 464 Executive position of Examples Editor**
- 465 Status of 2013 JSC actions**
- 466 Formal recognition of individuals and groups contributing to the development of RDA**
- 467 Reports from JSC constituencies**

*End of Executive Session 1*

*Closed Session*

- 468 CoP governance review and RDA**

*End of Closed Session*

- 469 Beginning of the public session**

469.1 Gordon Dunsire opened the public session by welcoming observers; all introduced themselves.

- 470 Approval of the agenda**

470.1 The agenda was approved as proposed. During the meeting, discussion of some documents was postponed to later days of the meeting; these minutes reflect the order of the discussions as held.

- 471 Minutes of the previous meeting held November 2013**

471.1 Gordon Dunsire noted that the minutes of the 2013 JSC meeting had been approved as corrected via email in July. The restricted and public versions of the minutes had been posted on the Workspace and the public website.

- 472 Next meeting in 2015**

472.1 The JSC agreed to hold its 2015 annual meeting in Edinburgh, Scotland the first week of November. Representatives from EURIG, ISBD, and ISSN communities would be invited to attend. Also to be investigated is the possibility of having an RDA seminar before or after the JSC meeting.

- 473 Chair 2016-2018**



473.1 Gordon Dunsire indicated his willingness to serve a second two-year term as Chair of JSC. The JSC endorsed him for a second term.

**474 Report: Chair**

474.1 Gordon Dunsire presented his report as Chair; the JSC accepted the report. [See the report in the appendix of the public minutes.]

**475 Reports: JSC working groups**

475.1 The JSC accepted the report of the JSC Music Working Group and formally thanked the group for the quantity of work accomplished and the good proposals submitted to the JSC. [See the report in the appendix of the public minutes.]

475.2 The JSC accepted the report of the JSC Places Working Group and formally thanked the group for the work it had begun. The JSC discussed the work of this group in connection to the FRBR consolidation effort and suggested that the JSC Places Working Group work with the FRBR Consolidation Expert Group. [See the report in the appendix of the public minutes.]

475.3. The JSC accepted the report of the JSC RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group and formally thanked the group for the work it had done. Gordon Dunsire explained that JSC does have a connection, via Alan Danskin, to Editeur even though Editeur staff have not participated. Gordon Dunsire explained that the JSC will take ownership of the ROF namespace but the name will not change. The ONIX standard has not yet been published in RDF although the work has been completed. [See the report in the appendix of the public minutes.]

475.4 The JSC accepted the report of the JSC Technical Working Group and formally thanked the group for the work it had done. Gordon Dunsire noted that more tasks might be added to the charge of this working group during the Nov. 7 executive sessions; he also noted that this working group won't be preparing marked-up proposals. Dave Reser asked if the working group's documents were a new category of "advisory" documents; Gordon noted that such a category would be important as the JSC increases its collaboration with other groups. [See the report in the appendix of the public minutes.]

**476 Report: Examples Editor**

476.1 The JSC accepted the report of the Examples Editor and formally thanked Kate James for the work she had done. [See the report in the appendix of the public minutes.]

476.2 Kate James encouraged the JSC members to submit examples.

- 476.3 Gordon Dunsire discussed the complete examples, available via a link on the Tools tab of the RDA Toolkit; he expressed concern that the focus of the examples, even though there are different presentations, appeared to be the MARC 21 context and that the emphasis on MARC should be removed. John Attig said that he had created a version of the complete examples using RIMMF. Gordon said relationship examples should also be included; some were available on the Registry from RIMMF activity. Alan Danskin said it was important that any MARC aspects be up-to-date; Gordon said that UNIMARC examples should also be included.
- 476.4 Gordon Dunsire summarized the discussion by saying that the JSC agreed that the complete examples should be updated and that Kate James should work via Judy Kuhagen to liaise with the RDA Development Team. Gordon raised the issue about what resources should be depicted in these examples, saying that they should show that RDA is better than anything else in describing these resources and showing relationships. Bill Leonard said he would be willing to help Kate with the complete examples.  
**ACTION=Kate James**
- 476.5 The question was raised if the complete examples, both the existing examples and the revised examples, should be stored on the JSC website rather than on the publishers' RDA website. Alan Danskin suggested investigating the use of Internet Archive files instead.
- 476.6 The JSC agreed that Kate James should continue to address the gender biases identified in examples illustrating RDA instructions.
- 476.7 Gordon Dunsire proposed that Kate James be recognized as the final arbiter of examples in the normal course of events; the JSC agreed. For examples not related to proposals, JSC representatives should send recommendations for additions, deletions, and/or changes directly to Kate rather than submitting them as Fast Track entries. The expectation is that proposals should contain examples but it is understood that those examples may not be accepted as given if the proposal is accepted by the JSC.
- 476.8 Kate James noted that the Examples Guide, to be included as an appendix to the Editor's Guide, displays the examples as they appear in RDA Toolkit but the Editor's Guide displays them as in the print version of RDA. The JSC asked Judy Kuhagen to revise the display of the examples in the Editor's Guide.  
**ACTION=Judy Kuhagen**

#### **477 Reports: liaisons with external groups**

- 477.1 The JSC reviewed the report of Alan Danskin, liaison to EURIG. Alan said that the 2015 meeting would be at the National Library of Switzerland in April or May.

477.2 The JSC reviewed the reports of Gordon Dunsire as liaison to the FRBR Review Group and reporting for Christine Frodl as liaison to the ISBD Review Group. He noted that the current liaisons are now ad hoc and he wondered if there should be a more formal arrangement in the future. Gordon said that one important issue is how to keep all the joint RDA/ISBD documents “in synch”.

#### **478 Structural issues: Toolkit structure and content**

478.1 Gordon Dunsire reviewed the report with its attachments distributed earlier only to JSC members and back-ups.

478.2 The JSC discussed the section of the report on licensing issues. Information is freely available in the Registry. Information is freely available on the public JSC website but the Chair can restrict access to specific documents. The same RDA content in the Toolkit is behind the pay-wall. Alan Danskin explained that during the development of RDA, access was restricted at times so as not to share content ahead of time. Dave Reser noted that restrictions cannot be put on LC documents.

478.3 Gordon Dunsire noted that 6JSC/Policy/1 needs to say that proposals submitted to the JSC will be made public.

478.4 Alan Danskin asked if there should be a paper identifying the aspects of licensing issues for either the Committee of Principals or the Co-Publishers. Simon Edwards agreed. Gordon Dunsire also asked JSC members who are representatives from the Co-Publishers to talk to their associations.

#### **479 Structural issues: Examples in Toolkit**

479.1 Kate James discussed issues related to the examples illustrating individual RDA instructions. The JSC agreed with Alan Danskin’s statement of the principle that there should be a range of examples to illustrate the range of instructions but that there isn’t a need to illustrate all content and carrier types.

479.2 The JSC said Kate James could consider culling examples from the RDA content as part of working on the complete examples. If a significant number of examples will be removed, an announcement should be made.

#### **480 Structural issues: 6JSC/BL/17 (Changes to Appendix D.0 and D.1.3.1)**

480.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

480.2 Purpose of the proposal: to clarify the scope of Appendix D and to replace guidelines on multilevel description with a reference to ISBD Appendix A.

- 480.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and accepted it with some revisions: correction of the citation as noted in LC's response and deletion of duplicate entries identified by the Italian translation team.
- 480.4 In March 2015, the JSC agreed via email to replace the explanation and table in D.1.1 with a link to the recently-posted alignment of the ISBD and RDA element sets. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/17/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.
- 481 Structural issues: 6JSC/LC/31 (Revisions to instructions on Parts of the Bible (6.23.2.9.2–6.23.2.9.5 and 6.23.2.9.7))**
- 481.1 The JSC received and considered the structural issue in the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 481.2 Purpose of the proposal related to structure: to remove the instruction to use the Authorized Version of the Bible for the titles of individual books and groups of books and to remove the table in the instruction; instead, agencies would choose a version of the Bible appropriate for their cataloguing needs. The proposal showed one approach cataloguing agencies could use for providing the form of titles to be used by including a link to that information on the Tools tab in the Toolkit and a possible display of LC-PCC approved forms of titles.
- 481.3 Bill Leonard asked if there were tools on the Internet that could be used instead of including information in RDA Toolkit. Gordon Dunsire said he preferred the use of the Tools tab but doing so raises questions about what content is included in translations. Bill withdrew the CCC objection to removing the information from the instruction. The JSC agreed to remove the information in the instruction and to remove the table; Gordon noted that JSC agreement didn't mean that the information would appear on the Tools tab until Dave Reser worked with Jamie Hennelly and Judy Kuhagen about how that information could be displayed there. Any constituency could then have its approved forms of titles posted in the same location.
- 481.4 Gordon Dunsire said that the JSC should look later to see if there are other parts of RDA where content could be removed/moved to meet the goal of internationalization.
- 481.5 After the meeting, Dave Reser and Judy Kuhagen supplied revised content for the LC-PCC listing of forms of titles to be provided on the Tools tab and Jamie Hennelly created a section on the Tools tab with links to and from the relevant instructions. A listing for the German forms of titles was also included in that section on the Tools tab for the April 2015 release of the Toolkit. For the final version of the approved changes to the instructions for this structural issue, see 6JSC/LC/31/rev/Sec final/rev/2 on the JSC website and see the entry "Books of the Bible" on the Tools tab in RDA Toolkit. For other changes proposed in 6JSC/LC/31, see Minute 526 below.

**482 Structural issues: 5JSC/Policy/3 (Glossary principles)**

482.1 The JSC decided to move the discussion of 5JSC/Policy/3 to the second Executive Session on Nov. 7, 2015. [The document was not discussed during that session.]

**483 Models: FRBR consolidation**

483.1 Gordon Dunsire reported the initial information he had gained from being liaison to the FRBR Review Group and from attending related meetings during and after the 2014 IFLA meeting.

**484 Models: 6JSC/BL/21 (Fictitious Families and Corporate Bodies (Revision of RDA 10.0, 10.3.1.3, 10.11.1.2, 11.0, 11.7.1.4, 11.13.1.2))**

484.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

484.2 Purpose of the proposal: to bring fictitious, legendary, and non-human families and corporate bodies in scope for RDA, for consistency with treatment of persons.

484.3 After discussion, the JSC decided to suspend the proposal due to discussions in the FRBR community on changing the definition of “person” as part of FRBR consolidation work. Alan Danskin noted that the problem won’t go away because cataloguers will need guidance on what to do.

484.4 Dave Reser suggested that 6JSC/Policy/1 acknowledge the additional category of “suspended” documents.

484.5 Gordon Dunsire asked Kathy Glennan and Bill Leonard to discuss the CCC response with Pat Riva. Gordon also suggested that Kathy consider if the ALA CC:DA task force on fictitious persons could become a JSC working group on fictitious entities.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan, Bill Leonard**

**485 Models: 6JSC/DNB/Discussion/2 (Discussion paper: Mixture of work level and manifestation level in RDA 2.3.2.6 (Collective Title and Titles of Individual Contents), Optional Additions))**

485.1 The JSC received and considered the discussion paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

485.2 Purpose of the discussion paper: to propose a review of the conceptual problems in the two optional additions in RDA 2.3.2.6 and to suggest how to resolve those problems.

- 485.3 After discussion of the paper and LC's response, Alan Danskin said he would agree to the DNB/LC solution although he said the BL thinks an underlying problem is still present. The JSC agreed to make the changes proposed in the LC response for RDA 2.3.2.6.1 and 2.3.2.6.2.
- 485.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/DNB/Discussion/2/Sec final on the JSC website.
- 486 Models: 6JSC/DNB/Discussion/3 (Discussion paper: Hidden relationships in attributes (examples: RDA 9.4.1.4.2, 9.13, 10.6, 11.3, 16.2.2))**
- 486.1 The JSC received and considered the discussion paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 486.2 Purpose of the discussion paper: to note the inconsistencies in handling relationships in RDA because many relationships are hidden within descriptions of group 2 and group 3 entities.
- 486.3 Gordon Dunsire noted that there are four ways to express relationships: identifier, authorized access point, structured description, and unstructured description.
- 486.4 The JSC agreed that the questions raised in the paper needed to be explored. It referred the paper to two groups: to the FRBR Consolidation Expert Group for background to its work and to the JSC Technical Working Group with a charge to prepare a paper for the 2015 JSC meeting.  
**ACTION = JSC Technical Working Group**
- 487 Models: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 (Meta-metadata elements in RDA)**
- 487.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 487.2 Purpose of the paper: to discuss four RDA elements that describe other RDA elements, with recommendations for further investigation and for changes to definitions of those elements in RDA and in the Registry.
- 487.3 The JSC accepted the paper and acted on the recommendations:  
-- #1: decided on changes to definitions of the four elements;  
-- #2 and #3: assigned these actions as new tasks to the JSC Technical Working Group;  
-- #4-#6: assigned these actions to Gordon Dunsire.  
**ACTION = JSC Technical Working Group, Gordon Dunsire**
- 487.4 For the final version of the approved changes to the definitions of the four elements (recommendation #1), see 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**488 Models: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2 (Note and related elements in RDA)**

- 488.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 488.2 Purpose of the paper: to identify needed actions related to creating RDA elements for “Details of” instructions and the differences with RDA “Notes on” elements, requiring the renaming of some of the elements.
- 488.3 The JSC accepted the paper and acted on the recommendations:
- #1: assigned this action to Gordon Dunsire and Judy Kuhagen for the April release of RDA Toolkit;
  - #2: assigned this action to the RDA Development Team;
  - #3: assigned this action to Gordon Dunsire;
  - #4-#5: assigned these actions to Gordon Dunsire and Judy Kuhagen for the August release of RDA Toolkit.
- ACTION = Gordon Dunsire, Judy Kuhagen, RDA Development Team**
- 488.4 For the final version of the approved changes to the “Details on” instructions (recommendation #1), see 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**489 Models: 6JSC/BL/15/rev (Revision of 0.6 Core Elements)**

- 489.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 489.2 Purpose of the proposal: to restructure RDA section 0.6, to generalize the scope to cover all elements, and to reduce duplication of information in RDA.
- 489.3 After discussion, the JSC decided to keep the list of core elements in RDA rather than point to a source outside RDA, keeping the list only in 0.6 and referring to that list from the Core elements instructions in other chapters. Information about subject would be added if the JSC approved 6JSC/ALA/31 and 6JSC/TechnicalWG/3 later in the meeting. The JSC agreed to incorporate content from LC’s response for 0.6.3.
- 489.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/15/rev/Sec final on the JSC website.

**490 Entities: Subject: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/3 (High-level subject relationship in RDA)**

- 490.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 490.2 Purpose of the paper: to discuss the high-level accommodation in RDA for the FRSAD subject relationship and provide recommendations for a coherent

and comprehensive framework for the future development of the treatment of the Subject concept in RDA.

490.3 Gordon Dunsire said that adding the Subject relationship to RDA only for Work would not be a risk despite the fact that the FRBR Consolidation Expert Group was beginning its work because Subject would remain at the Work level in FRBR.

490.4 The JSC accepted the paper and acted on the recommendations:  
-- #1: assigned this action to Gordon Dunsire;  
-- #2: noted during the discussion of 6JSC/ALA/31 that the recommendation is addressed by the move/revision of relationship designators from appendix J to new appendix M;  
-- #3-#4: addressed by 6JSC/ALA/31;  
-- #5: assigned this action to the RDA Development Team;  
-- #6: moot.

**ACTION = Gordon Dunsire, RDA Development Team**

490.5 ALA will prepare a proposal for 2015 for designators for references to published citations.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

490.6 For the acknowledgement that recommendations are addressed by 6JSC/ALA/31, see 6JSC/ALA/31/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**491 Entities: Subject: 6JSC/ALA/31 (Subject Relationship Element in RDA Chapter 23)**

491.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

491.2 Purpose of the proposal: to propose a subject relationship element as a first step towards supporting the treatment of subjects in RDA. It proposes text of chapter 23 and appendix L.

491.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with various revisions: revisions also needed in 0.3 and 0.5, revision of definition; use of appendix M rather than appendix L for the relationship designators; revisions to wording in 0.6.7 from CCC and LC responses; revisions to 23.0 and 23.1.3 from the LC response.

491.4 The JSC asked ALA to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

491.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised ALA proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/31/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.



**492 Entities: Place: 6JSC/BL/22/rev (Place Associated with the Corporate Body (Revision of RDA 11.3.1, 11.13.1.3))**

492.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

492.2 Purpose of the proposal: to add more specific element sub-types for recording names of places associated with corporate bodies and clarify the use of place name added in authorized access points.

492.3 Ebe Kartus withdrew the ACOC objection to going forward with the proposal. The JSC agreed to use the LC response as the text for the revision with the addition of "corporate" as suggested by CCC.

492.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/22/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**493 Entities: Place: 6JSC/LC/27 (Revision to RDA 16.2.2.8 (Place Names for Jurisdictions))**

493.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

493.2 Purpose of the proposal: to clarify the relationships among three different RDA instructions that mention "type of jurisdiction" by reorganizing existing instructions.

493.3 Dave Reser explained that the proposal was an expansion of a change originally submitted as a Fast Track entry. Kathy Glennan withdrew the ALA objections to the proposal. The JSC accepted 6JSC/LC/27 as proposed.

493.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/LC/27/Sec final on the JSC website.

**494 Entities: Place: 6JSC/TechnicalWG/4 (Court and Jurisdiction in RDA)**

494.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

494.2 Purpose of the paper: to clarify the use of "court" and "jurisdiction" and related terms in RDA.

494.3 The JSC accepted the paper in principle and also noted the need for a similar analysis of the term "government" (as recommended in the DNB response). The JSC acted on the recommendations:

-- #1-#2 and #4-#8: assigned these actions, including analysis of "government," to BL, CCC, and DNB for a proposal for 2015 (but revision

of “appellant” and “appellee” included in April 2015 release of RDA Toolkit)  
-- #3: assigned the revision about type of corporate body in 11.7.1.4 to LC (handled via a Fast Track entry included in the Feb. 2015 release of RDA Toolkit)

**ACTION = Alan Danskin, Bill Leonard, Susanne Oehlschläger, Dave Reser**

**495 Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ISSN/5 (Change in mode of issuance for online resources: proposal for a revised instruction)**

495.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

495.2 Purpose of the paper: to revise the instructions about change in mode of issuance from an online serial to an online integrating resource (and the opposite) does not result in a new description.

495.3 The JSC accepted the paper in principle but decided not to include such a revision and related revisions in other parts of RDA until the policy had been included in the ISSN Manual.

495.4 The JSC discussed the possibility of establishing a protocol between the JSC and the ISSN Network. Gordon Dunsire will respond to the ISSN Network, explaining the JSC decision and suggesting the establishment of a protocol.  
**ACTION = Gordon Dunsire**

**496 Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ALA/27 (Revision of RDA 2.12.9.2 and 2.12.17.2: Source of numbering within series and subseries)**

496.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

496.2 Purpose of the proposal: to modify RDA 2.12.9.2 and 2.12.17.2 to permit taking numbering within series and subseries from any source.

496.3 The JSC accepted 6JSC/ALA/27 as proposed.

496.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/27/Sec final on the JSC website.

**497 Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/LC/28 (Revision to RDA 2.4.1.8 (Noun Phrase Occurring with a Statement of Responsibility))**

497.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

497.2 Purpose of the proposal: to clarify when a “noun phrase” should be transcribed as part of a statement of responsibility and when it should be transcribed as part of another element (e.g., other title information).

497.3 Dave Reser distributed a revised proposal that incorporated comments from ALA and CCC and presented two versions of how changes could be made. The JSC accepted the second version in the revised proposal with one revision: new wording for the last sentence of 2.3.1.5 to be sent by Dave Reser after the meeting.

**ACTION = Dave Reser**

497.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/LC/28/Sec final on the JSC website.

**498 Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ALA/32 (Expanding the scope of Statement of Responsibility in RDA 2.4 and eliminating the instructions for Performers, Narrators, Presenters (RDA 7.23), and Artistic and/or Technical Credits (RDA 7.24))**

498.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

498.2 Purpose of the proposal: to deprecate RDA 7.23 and 7.24 in favor of using RDA 2.4 and RDA 2.17.3.

498.3 The JSC accepted the proposal with some revisions: revision of 2.4.2.3 in LC response, revision to “on other information” in 2.17.3.1 and 2.17.3.5. The Fast Track entry about the definition of “performer, narrator, presenter” was no longer appropriate.

498.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/32/Sec final/rev/3 on the JSC website.

**499 Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/BL rep/1 (Simplification of RDA 2.7-2.10)**

499.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

499.2 Purpose of the paper: to discuss options to simplify RDA 2.7-2.10, extend the underlying model, and satisfy FRBR user tasks.

499.3 The JSC discussed the questions in the paper and noted that it may be beneficial to keep Production (2.7) separate. The JSC asked BL to prepare a proposal for 2015 that would look at how to simplify the instructions at 2.8-2.10 and also include 2.11 and issues raised in 6JSC/CCC/15.

**ACTION = Alan Danskin**

499.4 Because the discussion referred to the four ways of expressing relationships (see Minute 486.3), Ebe Kartus asked if an explanation could be written to use for the constituencies. Gordon Dunsire said a stand-alone document could be prepared that would include explanations and examples as part of a collection of reference documents.

**ACTION = Gordon Dunsire (later to the JSC Technical Working Group)**

**500 Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements:  
6JSC/ALA/Discussion/4 (Transcription issues associated with the Production Statement (RDA 2.7))**

500.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

500.2 Purpose of the paper: to suggest that the prescribed source of information for the Production Statement should be “any source” and that the instruction should be to “record” rather than “transcribe” the information.

500.3 The JSC discussed the paper, noting again the four ways of expressing relationships (see Minute 486.3), and changed the focus from “produced vs. published” to “non-self-describing vs. self-describing.”

500.4 The JSC asked ALA to prepare a proposal for 2015 to rework instructions for unpublished resources into self-describing and non-self-describing. The JSC also asked ALA to look at a subset of “record” instructions and make general suggestions that can be added to the outcomes of the Technical WG task to investigate the composition of relationship data.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

**501 Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/ALA/29  
(Clarifying core element status for “not identified” elements in the Distribution and Manufacture Statements (RDA 2.9 and 2.10))**

501.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

501.2 Purpose of the proposal: to modify RDA 2.9 and 2.10 to clarify the instructions in relation to “not identified” elements in the Distribution and Manufacture Statements.

501.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: remove core statements (including 2.11, Copyright date) and add wording “if can be determined”.

501.4 The JSC asked ALA to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

501.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised ALA proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/29/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**502 Manifestations and Items: Production, etc., statements: 6JSC/ALA/28 (Creating a priority order for Sources of Information in Date of Manufacture element (RDA 2.10.6.2))**

502.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

502.2 Purpose of the proposal: to provide a priority order for selecting the source of information for Date of Manufacture.

502.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and accepted it as proposed.

502.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/28/Sec final on the JSC website.

**503 Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/ALA/33 (Clarifying instructions for Sequences of Plates (RDA 3.4.5.9))**

503.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

503.2 Purpose of the proposal: to replace the current instructions in RDA 3.4.5.9, Leaves or Pages of Plates, renaming the instruction to “Sequences of Plates”, and modifying the language and order of the instructions.

503.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: keep name of instruction as is, keep first paragraph of 3.4.5.9, modify the exception, and use LC’s suggested captions for 3.4.5.9.1 and 3.4.5.9.2.

503.4 The JSC asked ALA to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

503.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised ALA proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/33/rev/Sec final/rev/2 on the JSC website.

**504 Manifestations and Items: Base materials: 6JSC/BL/16 (Merging 3.6.1.3 Recording Base Material and 3.6.2 Base Material for Microfilm Microfiche, Photographic Film, and Motion Picture Film)**

504.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

504.2 Purpose of the proposal: to merge the associated instructions at RDA 3.6.1.3 and RDA 3.6.2.

504.3 The JSC accepted the proposal with revisions: use a single list with terms from both instructions, add glossary definitions, and incorporate changes from 6JSC/MusicWG/9.

504.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/16/Sec final on the JSC website.

**505 Manifestations and Items: Base material: 6JSC/MusicWG/9 (Additional terms for Base Material in RDA 3.6.1.3 and Applied Material in RDA 3.7.1.3)**

505.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

505.2 Purpose of the proposal: to add terms and definitions for the vocabularies in RDA 3.6.1.3 and RDA 3.7.1.3.

505.3 The JSC accepted the proposal with some revisions to terms and definitions to synchronize with changes accepted for 6JSC/BL/16.

505.4 For the acknowledgement that revisions are incorporated into 6JSC/BL/16/Sec final, see 6JSC/MusicWG/9/Sec final on the JSC website.

**506 Manifestations and Items: 6JSC/MusicWG/5 (Revision proposal for RDA 3.4.3.2 and RDA 3.21.2.5)**

506.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. Damian Iseminger, chair of the JSC Music Working Group, presented the proposal.

506.2 Purpose of the proposal: to revise the second exception at RDA 3.4.3.2 and revise RDA 3.21.2.5 so that the description of the carrier is separated from the description of the content.

506.3 The JSC discussed the proposal. Kathy Glennan withdrew ALA's objection to the proposal. The JSC tentatively accepted the proposal with revisions: make further revisions to the second exception; use wording from ALA response for last paragraph of 3.4.3.2 and 3.21.2.5.

506.4 The JSC asked the working group to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.  
**ACTION = JSC Music Working Group**

506.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/MusicWG/5/rev/2/Sec final on the JSC website.

**507 Works: titles: 6JSC/MusicWG/7 (Revision proposal for RDA 6.2.1.9, 6.14.2.7.1, Appendix B.3: Abbreviation for the part designation Number or its equivalent in another language)**

507.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. Damian Iseminger, chair of the JSC Music Working Group, presented the proposal.

507.2 Purpose of the proposal: to revise the instructions to clarify that when a part of a musical work is identified by a number, either preceded by the word Number or its equivalent in another language or has no general term associated with it, the abbreviation for the word Number or its equivalent precedes the number.

507.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with a revision not to include the proposed last sentence in 6.14.2.7.1 about language being unknown.

507.4 The JSC asked the working group to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = JSC Music Working Group**

507.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/MusicWG/7/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**508 Works: titles: 6JSC/Chair/15/rev/2 (Revision to 6.2.2.10 (Recording the Preferred Title for a Compilation of Works of One Person, Family, or Corporate Body) and 6.2.2.4 (Works created after 1500) (National Library of New Zealand))**

508.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. Gordon Dunsire presented the proposal and noted the thanks of JSC to the National Library of New Zealand for its work.

508.2 Purpose of the proposal: to clarify the situations in which a conventional collective title must be assigned to works by a single author.

508.3 During the discussion, the JSC expressed concerns about making major changes to RDA during the next two to three years that might need to be changed again given the many other significant changes that may/will be occurring:

- The Committee of Principals' review of RDA governance and strategy that currently encourages development for international, cultural heritage, and linked data communities.

- The anticipated deprecation of FRBR Group 3 entities, addition of new entities, and other significant changes in the consolidated model of FRBR, FRAD, and FRSAD within the next two years.
- The current discussion on the future strategy of ISBD and the pending review of the ISBD consolidated edition.
- Changes to the structure of RDA Toolkit resulting from the work of the RDA Development Team on the new content management system, RDA Registry, and non-MARC carriers of RDA data.

508.4 The JSC established a working principle for its own work in the coming 2-3 year period of change: Extensive changes to RDA in areas that are likely to require significant review and amendment (with high risk impact factors) will usually not be implemented. This includes the layout and numbering of the main sections and appendices. Proposals may be accepted in principle, but suspended pending subsequent review, or referred to other JSC and related groups involved in changes to these areas. Proposals that involve changes in areas unlikely to be impacted by external factors, and smaller changes in any areas of RDA, will continue to be implemented after agreement by the JSC. [This working principle was announced to the constituencies after the JSC meeting and posted on the public website in early February 2015.]

508.5 The JSC decided to refer the proposal to the FRBR Review Group for background for its work with JSC on aggregates. [After the meeting, the JSC also established a JSC Aggregates Working Group.]

**509 Works: titles: 6JSC/LC/29 (Compilations of Works by Different Persons, Families, or Corporate Bodies (New 6.2.2.11))**

509.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

509.2 Purpose of the proposal: to reorganize the chapter 6 instructions related to compilations of works by different persons, families, or corporate bodies and to add a new 6.2.2.11 instruction.

509.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and accepted it with revisions: agreement by LC to use “commonly identified” in 6.2.2.11.1 as decided in discussion of JSC Music Working Group proposals earlier in the week, changes from ALA and CCC responses for changes 2-5 in the proposal, agreement with Gordon Dunsire’s comment to combine definitions for the term “collective title,” and additional wording to be supplied by LC after the meeting for 6.27.4.1.  
**ACTION = Dave Reser**

509.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/LC/29/Sec final/rev/2 on the JSC website.



**510 Works: titles: 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/3/JSC response/EURIG response  
(Compilations of Works: Discussion paper)**

- 510.1 The JSC received and considered this response; because it hadn't been received until early October, there were no responses to it from the JSC constituencies.
- 510.2 The JSC discussed the response and decided to include this response with other documents being forwarded to the JSC Aggregates Working Group and to the FRBR Consolidation Expert Group for its work on aggregates.
- 510.3 For the JSC response to this EURIG response, see  
6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/3/JSC response/EURIG response/JSC response on the JSC website.

**511 Works: titles: 6JSC/LC/30 (Works without titles)**

- 511.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 511.2 Purpose of the proposal: to add missing instructions for choosing the preferred titles for works such as untitled works and also to address a gap in chapter 6 for "Titles Found in a Non-Preferred Script.
- 511.3 The JSC discussed the proposal. All the JSC constituencies except ALA agreed to delete the separate RDA instruction (6.2.2.7) for manuscripts and manuscript groups. The JSC decided to continue discussing the proposal at the meeting and asked Kathy Glennan to continue her conversation with the ALA manuscript experts with a final decision to be made by a month after the JSC meeting.  
**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**
- 511.4 The JSC tentatively accepted the other aspects of the proposal with revisions and decisions by the JSC on choices presented in the proposal: adding wording from ALA response for 6.2.2.2, using wording from CCC response for 6.2.2.4, generalizing the caption for 6.2.2.6.2 as noted in the ACOC response, asking LC to use option B in its proposal for changes 3 and 5, etc.
- 511.5 The JSC asked LC to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.  
**ACTION = Dave Reser**
- 511.6 The JSC was able to return to the issue of the instruction for manuscripts and manuscript groups later during the meeting week after Kathy Glennan's email conversations with those ALA experts; the experts indicated they would agree to the content being added as an alternative rather than an exception in new 6.2.2.6.2. Dave Reser said both would be presented in the revised proposal for a JSC decision.

511.7 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised ALA proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/LC/30/rev/ Sec final/rev/3 on the JSC website.

**512 Works: titles: 6JSC/MusicWG/6 (Revision proposal for choosing and recording preferred titles for music in RDA 6.14.2.3–6.14.2.6)**

512.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. Damian Iseminger, chair of the JSC Music Working Group, presented the proposal.

512.2 Purpose of the proposal: to reorganize the instructions in RDA 6.14.2.3-6.14.2.6 for choosing and recording a preferred title in a more logical manner and also to align more closely the wording for choosing a preferred title for musical works with the general instructions for choosing preferred titles in RDA 6.2.2.4.

512.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: using the new 6.2.2.2 language for 6.14.2.2, wording from the ALA and CCC responses for 6.14.2.3, and wording from the LC response for 6.14.2.4, 6.14.2.5, and 6.14.2.5.2.1-6.14.2.5.2.2.

512.4 The JSC asked the working group to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = JSC Music Working Group**

507.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/MusicWG/6/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**513 Works: titles: 6JSC/MusicWG/8 (Revision proposal for conventional collective titles in RDA 6.14.2.8 and Glossary definitions for conventional collective titles and the term Type of Composition)**

513.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. Damian Iseminger, chair of the JSC Music Working Group, presented the proposal.

513.2 Purpose of the proposal: to remove the closed vocabulary of conventional collective titles and revise the RDA 6.14.2.8 instructions.

513.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: using the wording from the CCC response for 6.14.2.8.2, and the wording from the ALA response for 6.14.2.8.3-6.14.2.8.4, etc.

513.4 The JSC asked the working group to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = JSC Music Working Group**

507.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/MusicWG/8/rev/Sec final/rev/2 on the JSC website.

**514 Works and Expressions: 6JSC/MusicWG/4 (Revision proposal for RDA 6.28.3, Authorized Access Point Representing a Musical Expression)**

514.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. Damian Iseminger, chair of the JSC Music Working Group, presented the proposal.

514.2 Purpose of the proposal: to revise RDA 6.28.3 to clarify that authorized access points representing musical expressions may be constructed using the instructions at both RDA 6.28.3 and RDA 6.27.3.

514.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: using the wording from the LC response for 6.18.1.1, deleting the first new paragraph in 6.27.3, using replacement wording from the ALA response for 6.28.3, adding the appendix E revision from the LC response, etc.

514.4 The JSC asked the working group to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = JSC Music Working Group**

507.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/MusicWG/4/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

514.6 Gordon Dunsire, on behalf of the JSC, formally thanked the JSC Music Working Group for the tremendous amount of work the group had accomplished.

**515 Works and Expressions: 6JSC/CILIP/4 (Colour content in RDA)**

515.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

515.2 Purpose of the proposal: to improve the clarity of RDA 7.17 to allow the consistent recording of colour content and delete RDA 7.17.2-7.17.4.

515.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and accepted it with revisions: adding a reference to 7.17 in 7.15.1.1, revising 7.17.1.1 per the ALA response but deleting the reference to 3.18.3 per ACOC, not revising 7.17.1.2, deleting the first paragraph of 7.13.1.3, using BL definitions of “monochrome” and “polychrome.”

515.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/CILIP/4/Sec final on the JSC website.

**516 Works and Expressions: 6JSC/ALA/36 (Clarifying instructions for Recording Duration (RDA 7.22) and Note on Carrier (RDA 3.21))**

516.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

516.2 Purpose of the proposal: to add a new general instruction for recording duration that encompasses playing time, running time, performance time, etc.; expand the scope of “performance time” beyond notated music and notated movement; and, remove instructions relating to physical units in RDA 7.22.

516.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: postpone any changes to chapter 3 until the ALA CC:DA Task Force on Machine-Actionable Data has completed its work, use wording in the CCC response for the first paragraph and in the LC response for the second paragraph of 7.22.1.3, use CCC wording with LC response for 7.22.1.5, use LC wording for 7.22.1.6, and delete 7.22.1.7.

516.4 The JSC asked ALA to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

516.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised ALA proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/36/rev/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**517 Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/20 (Priority order for additions to authorized access points representing a person (Revision of RDA 9.19))**

517.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

517.2 Purpose of the proposal: to remove the requirement for a priority order for additions to authorized access points representing persons. The proposal also recommended reversal of the merger of: Period of Activity of the Person and Profession or Occupation, at 9.19.1.5 Period of Activity of the Person and/or Profession or Occupation.

517.3 The JSC discussed the proposal. Gordon Dunsire and Alan Danskin said that the instructions for assembling authorized access points should be in application profiles rather than in RDA. Ebe Kartus said that ACOC thought cataloguing communities needed to be educated about application profiles

before removing the priority order now in these RDA instructions. Gordon Dunsire said that information about application profiles could be another document submitted as part of the package to be prepared for the 2015 meeting of the Committee of Principals and then the document could be repurposed as a reference document.

**ACTION=Gordon Dunsire**

517.4 The JSC agreed with LC to keep the requirement to include other elements in authorized access points only if a date of birth and/or date of death was not available. JSC agreed with the recommendation to separate 9.19.1.5 into distinct instructions for Period of Activity of the Person (9.19.1.5) and Profession or Occupation (9.19.1.6)

517.5 The JSC asked BL to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Alan Danskin**

517.6 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/20/rev/Sec final on the JSC website.

**518 Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/18 ("Between", "Before" and "After" dates (Revision of RDA 9.3.1.3))**

518.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

518.2 Purpose of the proposal: to specify additional types of uncertain date that can be recorded following 9.3.1.3.

518.3 There was not overall support for the proposal in the JSC responses. After discussion by the JSC, Alan Danskin withdrew the proposal on behalf of the BL.

**519 Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/19 (Post-nominal letters as Other Designation (Additional examples for RDA 9.6.1.9 and 9.19.1.7, and addition to Appendix E))**

519.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

519.2 Purpose of the proposal: to clarify syntax when post-nominal letters are used as "Other Designation."

519.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and accepted it with revisions: not introducing the term "post-nominal" (no change needed in appendix E) and using wording from the ALA and LC responses for RDA 9.4.1.1 and RDA 9.4.1.9.

519.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/19/Sec final on the JSC website.

**520 Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/23 (Field of Activity of the Corporate Body (Revision of RDA 11.10.1.3))**

520.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

520.2 Purpose of the proposal: to clarify that Field of Activity of the Corporate Body is recorded using a term indicating the field, rather than an explanatory note.

520.3 The JSC discussed the proposal. Alan Danskin said the BL accepted the suggestion in the ALA response about moving examples to 11.10.1.3 and the editorial adjustments recommended in the CCC response. The JSC accepted the proposal with those revisions.

520.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/23/Sec final on the JSC website.

**521 Persons, Families, and Corporate Bodies: 6JSC/BL/24 (Full name as addition to Initialism or Acronym in Access Points for Corporate Bodies (Revision of RDA 11.13.1.2))**

521.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

521.2 Purpose of the proposal: to allow the fullest variant name of a corporate body to be used as an addition to an initialism or acronym used in an authorized or variant access point.

521.3 The JSC discussed the proposal. Alan Danskin said the BL accepted all of the LC response. The JSC accepted the proposal as revised by the LC response.

521.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/BL/24/Sec final/rev on the JSC website.

**522 Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/CCC/Discussion/1 (Internationalization and RDA Appendix A Capitalization: Discussion Paper)**

522.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

522.2 Purpose of the paper: to raise concerns that arise during translation of an English-language tool for non-English user communities.

- 522.3 Bill Leonard explained that the concerns expressed in the paper are those from individuals preparing the French and German translations of RDA but would also be of concern for other translations. Capitalization practice is one of those areas of concern.
- 522.4 Bill Leonard asked the JSC to reaffirm its commitment to internationalization. The other JSC representatives and Gordon did so as did Simon Edwards for the Committee of Principals.
- 522.5 The JSC discussed what “internationalization” means. It is not to adapt RDA to each individual country’s needs; the goal/objective is to have one standard to be used by all. Bill Leonard asked about establishing guidelines for translation teams. Susanne Oehlschläger said that during the discussion at the 2014 IFLA Frankfurt satellite meeting on RDA, it was said that any problem that is discovered during the translation process needs to be addressed by the JSC. Gordon Dunsire said that RDA can accommodate local practice if that practice is conformant with RDA principles (FRBR, etc.). John Attig said RDA should be generalized with “local” practices moved to policy statements and application profiles.
- 522.6 The JSC discussed the points in the paper and agreed with the CCC recommendation to establish a JSC working group on capitalization. Bill Leonard noted that Pat Riva had already volunteered to be chair of such a working group and that members of teams doing translations of RDA should also be contacted.
- ACTION = Gordon Dunsire**

**523 Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/ISSN/4 (Major and minor titles changes for serials in languages which do not divide text into words: proposal for new wording and instructions)**

- 523.1 The JSC received and considered the paper and the responses of the JSC constituencies.
- 523.2 Purpose of the paper: to address major and minor title changes for serials in languages which do not divide text into words.
- 523.3 Because the ISSN document presented possible revisions to the ISSN Manual text with a request for comments rather than presenting possible revisions to RDA, Dave Reser discussed the LC response that showed how those revisions could be incorporated in RDA 2.3.2.13.1.
- 523.4 The JSC decided to send LC’s response to the ISSN Network and refer to the other JSC responses with the indication that the JSC looked forward to seeing the final text; the JSC response would also ask for confirmation that these possible revisions for major and minor titles had been discussed with the ISBD Review Group in line with the harmonization agreement among the three groups.

**ACTION = Gordon Dunsire**

523.5 After the meeting, the JSC decided to make changes to RDA 2.3.2.13.1, using the LC response as the basis for those changes, for the April 2015 release of RDA Toolkit rather than wait for another proposal from the ISSN Network. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ISSN/4/Sec final/rev/2 on the JSC website.

**524 Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/ALA/35 (Creating instructions for using nominative case for titles (RDA 6.2), names (RDA 8.5), and places (RDA 16.2))**

524.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

524.2 Purpose of the proposal: to create instructions about using the nominative case when recording the title of a work (RDA 6.2), the name of a person, family, or corporate body (RDA 8.5), and the name of a place (RDA 16.2).

524.3 After discussion by the JSC, Kathy Glennan noted JSC's reluctance to add either specific instructions or general guidance in RDA (concerns raised: more about knowledge of language than of cataloguing practice, more appropriate for training materials or policy statements, etc.).

524.4 The JSC agreed not to take action on the proposal at that time but did note for the record ALA's concern that this issue was more important than capitalization for consistency of results.

**525 Internationalization: documents: 6JSC/CCC/15 (Add instructions to supply terms indicating the function recorded under the optional addition provisions at 2.7.4.4, 2.8.4.4, 2.9.4.4 or 2.10.4.4, in a language and script preferred by the cataloguing agency)**

525.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

525.2 Purpose of the proposal: to add instructions in RDA 2.7.4.4, 2.8.4.4, 2.9.4.4 and 2.10.4.4 allowing supplied statements of function to be recorded in a language and script preferred by the cataloguing agency.

525.3 After discussing the proposal, the JSC decided that Alan Danskin should incorporate issues raised in the CCC proposal in the work resulting from 6JSC/BL rep/1 (see Minute 499). Dave Reser will submit Fast Track entries for wording changes in RDA 1.4 suggested in the LC response. [After the meeting, the JSC approved the changes to RDA 1.4; the revised wording appeared in the Feb. 2015 version of RDA Toolkit.]

**ACTION = Alan Danskin, Dave Reser**



**526 Internationalization: documents: Bible: 6JSC/LC/31 (Revisions to instructions on Parts of the Bible (6.23.2.9.2–6.23.2.9.5 and 6.23.2.9.7))**

526.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies. [The JSC had discussed the changes related to the structure of the Toolkit earlier in the meeting; see Minute 481.]

526.2 Purpose of the proposal: to remove the instruction to use the Authorized Version of the Bible for the titles of individual books and groups of books, to change how individual books of the Apocrypha are recorded, and to reorganize the instructions on parts of books recorded by chapter and verse.

526.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and the additional document on changes to 6.23.2.9.7 distributed at the meeting. The JSC tentatively accepted the proposal with revisions: changes to 6.23.2.6 based on CCC response, changes to 6.23.2.9.2 based on comments in the ALA and CCC responses, consideration of two approaches to changes to 6.23.2.9.4 based on comments in the ACOC and CCC responses.

526.4 The JSC asked LC to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Dave Reser**

526.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/LC/31/rev/ Sec final/rev/2 on the JSC website.

**527 Internationalization: documents: Bible: 6JSC/ALA/34 (Eliminating the instructions for Date of Expression of a Religious Work (RDA 6.24) and modifying the instructions for Authorized Access Point Representing an Expression of the Bible (RDA 6.30.3.2))**

527.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

527.2 Purpose of the proposal: to replace the specific instructions in RDA 6.24 with a reference to RDA 6.10 and remove the Alternative for facsimile reproductions in 6.30.3.2.

527.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and tentatively accepted it with revisions: remove the two paragraphs preceding the Alternative, revise 6.10.1.1 with wording from the LC response.

527.4 The JSC asked ALA to prepare a revised proposal after the meeting for discussion via email.

**ACTION = Kathy Glennan**

527.5 The JSC discussed and approved, via email after the meeting, the revised proposal. For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/34/rev/Sec final on the JSC website.

**528 Internationalization: language of examples**

528.1 The JSC discussed the language of examples in RDA and requests to add additional examples in languages other than English. Jamie Hennelly said that translators can add examples in the language of the translations; in the future, there would be a core set of examples from the English version of RDA and subsets of examples in other languages. Susanne Oehlschläger said that the German-speaking constituency wants to add German examples to the English version because a separate German version of RDA was not created.

528.2 Ebe Kartus asked if the examples could be coded by language, mode of issuance, content, carrier, etc.

528.3 Gordon Dunsire said the policy is that examples are not part of RDA and that it should be possible to find examples in the language desired. Kathy Glennan asked where Kate James' line of responsibility ended with regard to her role as Examples Editor. Gordon replied that her main responsibility is for examples in the English-language version of RDA; given time constraints, she could contribute to examples in translations.

528.4 Two unresolved Fast Track entries related to language of examples were assigned to Kate James for resolution and Gordon Dunsire indicated that a German example can replace an English example in the English-language version of RDA.

**529 Works: titles: 6JSC/ALA/30 (Using the mark of omission when recording titles (RDA 6.2))**

529.1 The JSC received and considered the proposal and the responses of the JSC constituencies.

529.2 Purpose of the proposal: to add an instruction in RDA 6.2 to address recording the title for a work issued as a multipart monograph or serial when the title includes a date, name, number, etc., that varies from issue to issue or part to part.

529.3 The JSC discussed the proposal and accepted it with revisions: adding new wording at 6.2.2.8 with a reference to 2.3.1.4-2.3.1.6 and revising 2.3.1.4 as noted in the LC response. The JSC decided not to add the Fast Track German example in 2.3.1.4.

529.4 For the final version of the approved changes, see 6JSC/ALA/30/Sec final on the JSC website.

**530 Models: documents: 6JSC/ROFWG/1 (Proposals for a namespace for the Framework)**

530.1 Gordon Dunsire reminded the JSC that the proposed actions in the paper are out of scope for RDA content. He said it codifies what JSC had agreed to earlier in the discussion of 6JSC/CILIP rep/1. He said that the namespace will be registered by ALA Publishing.

530.2 The JSC accepted the paper.

**531 Models: documents: 6JSC/ROFWG/2 (JSC recommendations for extension and revision of the Framework)**

531.1 Gordon Dunsire reviewed the various recommendations in the paper. The JSC accepted the paper.

531.2 Gordon Dunsire said that constituencies can now propose new terms for content, media, and carrier types. Dave Reser asked if there was a set of guidelines for how to make such proposals. Gordon replied that the working group will have a new task to write those guidelines.

**ACTION = JSC RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group**

531.3 Dave Reser said he would submit Fast Track entries to add the terms “audio wire reel” and “audio belt” to the Carrier type category. [The JSC approved the terms and definitions after the meeting; they were added to RDA in the February 2015 release of RDA Toolkit.]

**ACTION = Dave Reser**

531.4 Gordon Dunsire said the JSC could consider adding a noun to the terms for Media type to avoid the problem for translations of the terms being just adjectives. He recommended that the term “playaway” not be added to the Carrier type category until further work is done.

**532 Other unresolved Fast Track entries**

532.1 Discussion of unresolved Fast Track entries not already addressed as part of the discussion of proposals during the week was postponed until after the meeting. Gordon Dunsire and Judy Kuhagen will establish a process for finishing this task.

**ACTION = Gordon Dunsire; Judy Kuhagen**

**533 Unresolved vocabulary entries**

533.1 Some of the unresolved vocabulary entries were addressed during discussion of JSC proposals during the meeting: #8 Base material for microfilm ... in 6JSC/BL/16; #13 Colour content in 6JSC/CILIP/4; part of #17 Conventional collective titles in 6JSC/MusicWG/8.

533.2 Discussion of the remaining unresolved vocabulary entries was postponed until after the meeting. Gordon Dunsire and Judy Kuhagen will establish a process for finishing this task.

**ACTION = Gordon Dunsire; Judy Kuhagen**

*Executive Session 2*

- 534 FAQs for Toolkit and Registry**
- 535 Checklist for preparing a proposal**
- 536 Revisions to Policy/1**
- 537 Revisions to Chair/7**
- 538 Other issues from the week's discussions**
- 539 Outcomes from November 2014 meeting**

*End of Executive Session 2*

## **Appendix for the public minutes**

### **Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA 2014 Reports of JSC groups and individuals**

The following reports were submitted, via the Chair of JSC, to the Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA for its meeting in November 2014 in Washington, D.C.

*Agenda item #11*

Report: Chair

*Agenda item#12*

Reports: JSC working groups

- Music
- Places
- RDA/ONIX Framework
- Technical

*Agenda item#13*

Report: Examples Editor

*Agenda item#14*

Reports: liaisons with external groups

- EURIG
- FRBR Review Group
- ISBD Review Group

## 2014 report of the JSC Chair

This report covers the period January-October 2014.

The JSC Chair participated in the following conferences and meetings:

- 24-28 January 2014: ALA Midwinter Meeting, Philadelphia, Pa., USA. A presentation on RDA for machines was given to the ALA CC:DA session on 25 January 2014.
- 27-28 February 2014: FSR 2014 conference, Rome, Italy. A presentation on RDA in library linked data applications was given to the conference.
- 4 March 2014: Lectio magistralis in Library Science, Florence University, Florence, Italy. A presentation on RDA and the Semantic Web was given as the lecture.
- 20 March 2014: Meeting of staff of the British Library, Boston Spa, England. A presentation on RDA data and applications was given to the meeting.
- 25 March 2014: National Seminar, National Library of Finland, Helsinki, Finland. A presentation on RDA and linked data was given to the seminar.
- 19 May 2014: Cataloguing and Indexing Group in Scotland's post-AGM seminar - RDA: a bigger picture, National Library of Scotland, Edinburgh, Scotland. A presentation on Under the lid of RDA was given to the seminar.
- 12 June 2014: CILIP RDA: Resource Description and Access Executive Briefing 2014, London, England. A presentation on RDA in a linked data world was given at the briefing.
- 26 June-1 July 2014: American Library Association Annual Conference, Las Vegas, Nev., USA. A presentation on RDA: thinking globally, acting globally was given to International Developments in Library Linked Data: Think Globally, Act Globally – Part Two: an ALCTS Program, 28 June 2014; a presentation on RDA, MARC and BIBFRAME: transition and interaction was given to the LITA/ALCTS MARC Formats Transition Interest Group seminar, 28 June 2014; a presentation on What is an RDA record? was given to the forum What is an RDA "record", 29 June 2014.
- 13 August 2014: RDA: Resource Description and Access – status and perspectives, IFLA Satellite Meeting, Frankfurt-am-Main, Germany. Presentations on RDA status and RDA and linked data applications: Registries, namespaces, maps, and profiles were given to the meeting.

Links to presentations are available at  
<http://www.gordondunsire.com/presentations.htm>

The JSC Chair attended the following meetings:

- 28 January 2014: RDA Toolkit Technical Committee, Philadelphia, Pa., USA.
- 4 March 2014: Meeting with Italian translation team, Florence, Italy.
- 28 April 2014: Meeting with JSC Secretary and James Hennelly (ALA Publishing).
- 29-30 April 2014: Committee of Principals of RDA, Chicago, Ill., USA.
- 1 July 2014: RDA Toolkit Technical Committee, Las Vegas, Nev., USA.
- 5 September 2014: CILIP/BL Committee on RDA, London, England.

The JSC Chair also participates in online meetings of:

- ALA CC:DA Task Force on Machine-actionable Data.
- CILIP CIG E-forums on RDA.
- RDA Development Team.
- RDA Toolkit Technical Committee.

The JSC Chair authored the following publications related to RDA:

- RDA and the semantic Web = RDA e il web semantico : Lectio Magistralis in Biblioteconomia. Fiesole (Firenze): Casalini Libri, 2014.
- Il passaggio di RDA nel web semantico. Postfazione: Introduzione a RDA. Carlo Bianchini, Mauro Guerrini. Milano: Editrice Bibliografica, 2014.
- Introduction [as Guest Editor]. Special Issue: RDA Around the World. Cataloging & Classification Quarterly, Volume 52, Issue 6-7 (2014).
- RDA: enabling discovery of content. CILIP Update, October 2014.
- ISBD, the UNIMARC bibliographic format, and RDA: interoperability issues in namespaces and the linked data environment. Cataloging & Classification Quarterly (2014) (In press; co-authored with Mirna Willer).

Links to publications are available at

<http://www.gordondunsire.com/publicationsrecent.htm>

Submitted by: Gordon Dunsire, Chair, JSC

Date: 20 October 2014

## 2014 report of the JSC Music Working Group

In 6JSC/Chair/14/2014, 11 tasks were assigned by the JSC to the JSC Music Working Group. The JMWG prepared and submitted RDA revision proposals for the following tasks:

2. Review RDA 6.14 and develop proposals that will simplify and clarify the instructions for choosing and recording preferred titles of musical works. Prepare proposals for the 2014 JSC Meeting. **Submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/6 and 6JSC/MusicWG/7.**
3. Review RDA 3.6 and determine if an additional sub-element is needed for the base material of audio recordings. Prepare a proposal for the 2014 JSC meeting. **No additional sub-element needed, however additional terms for the list at 3.6.1.3 were deemed necessary. Submitted as part of 6JSC/MusicWG/9.**
4. Review RDA 3.7 and determine if an additional sub-element is needed for the applied material on audio recordings. Prepare a proposal for the 2014 JSC meeting. **No additional sub-element needed, however additional terms for the list at 3.7.1.3 were deemed necessary. Submitted as part of 6JSC/MusicWG/9.**
5. Revise RDA 6.28.3.1 so that the instruction allows the use of elements prescribed under RDA 6.27.3 in conjunction with those prescribed under RDA 6.28.3.1-6.28.3.5. Prepare a proposal for the 2014 JSC Meeting. **Submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/4.**
6. Review instructions and terms from RDA 6.14.2.8.3-6.14.2.8.5 and RDA 7.20 in the RDA Glossary and consider options for improving the presentation of terms in RDA 6.14.2.8.4-6.14.2.8.5 and avoiding the need for Glossary entries: propose better formed definitions of the terms as exemplars of construction patterns; reference one or more external vocabularies (see Task 1); revert to use of examples. The JSC is reviewing the general treatment of conventional collective titles. Prepare a proposal for the 2014 JSC meeting. **Submitted as 6JSC/MusicWG/8.**
11. Investigate the dependencies between RDA 3.4.3.2 Exceptions and RDA 3.22.2.5 to determine if RDA 3.22.2.5 is redundant. **A proposal was submitted for the 2014 JSC Meeting as 6JSC/MusicWG/5.**

Some activity has been started on task 1 (investigating music vocabularies external to RDA), mostly in the context of preparing 6JSC/MusicWG/8. Activity on tasks 7-10 will begin in November 2014. Work on tasks 7-10 will more than likely result in proposals for the 2015 JSC Meeting.

As of now, the only new task that the group wishes to add is:

- Investigate RDA 6.28.1.10 and determine if the method for resolving conflicts between authorized access points is too restrictive.

Submitted by: Damian Iseminger, Chair, JSC Music Working Group  
Date: 11 October 2014



## **2014 report of the JSC Places Working Group**

The JSC Places Working Group was formed in February 2014 and charged with assisting the JSC in developing the treatment of places in RDA. Several earlier approved and unapproved RDA revision proposals dealing with places were referred to it for consideration.

In March, the Working Group began its work with discussion of general issues on a wiki hosted at the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek. At the same time, one member also contributed a draft discussion document showing how RDA Chapter 11 might be modified to accommodate all the instructions currently in Chapter 16.

After further discussion, a second draft document was produced in early June illustrating how some of the changes to the instructions for places that the Working Group was contemplating could be incorporated into a revised version of the existing Chapter 16 instead.

In late June, one member of the Working Group provided the group with a new outline for a potential discussion paper providing extensive background information, exploring broader questions, and outlining advantages and disadvantages of multiple possible approaches to some of the issues the Working Group has discussed while indicating which option the group preferred and seeking further guidance from the JSC.

The other Working Group members welcomed the idea of a broader discussion paper, but other commitments and summer vacation schedules meant that very little further discussion took place immediately. Under the circumstances, the Chair determined in mid-July that the Working Group would not attempt to finalize any submission to the JSC by the August 4 deadline for the November 2014 meeting. Subsequent exchanges suggest that the Working Group may agree to submit both a version of the draft of Chapter 16, as a preliminary “straw-man” proposal, along with a version of the proposed discussion paper to provide further background and discussion of issues not yet addressed in the chapter draft. Work towards this end will continue in the fall, and the group plans to solicit external feedback on the results before finalizing any formal submission.

Challenges facing the Working Group include the very broad and general nature of its charge, uncertainty about how higher-level decisions about the overall structure of RDA may affect its work, and competing commitments which allow some members to contribute only sporadically to the group’s discussions.

Submitted by: Robert J. Rendall, Chair, JSC Places Working Group

Date: 3 October 2014

### **2014 report of the JSC RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group**

The Terms of Reference for the Group were published as 6JSC/Chair/10 on 9 January 2014. The membership was revised once; the current Membership and Tasks are published as 6JSC/Chair/10/2014/Rev/1.

The Group established a shared documents folder on Google Drive and a private listserv using the ALA Digital Reference infrastructure, and thanks James Hennelly for his assistance and support.

#### **Submissions to JSC**

The Group submitted two proposal documents for the JSC meeting in November 2014:

- **6JSC/ROFWG/1** (Proposals for a namespace for the Framework)
- **6JSC/ROFWG/2** (JSC recommendations for extension and revision of the Framework)

#### **Status of tasks**

1. Review the proposals for a ROF namespace in 6JSC/Chair/5.

Status: **Completed by 6JSC/ROFWG/1.**

2. Review the recommendations for the extension and revision of the ROF contained in 6JSC/RDA/Categorization/rev.

Status: **Completed by 6JSC/ROFWG/2.**

3. Review and take forward the outstanding recommendations set out in 5JSC/Chair/10.

Status: **Completed.** For Recommendation 1, the Group has agreed maps from RDA and ISBD to the Framework. Recommendations 2, 3, and 4 are covered in the work carried out for Tasks 1 and 2. Recommendation 5 is completed by the formation of the Group itself.

4. Review and take forward recommendation #3 in 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1.

a. Recommend labels to supplement RDA controlled vocabularies for resource categorization for application in user friendly displays, in collaboration with the RDA Technical Working Group.

Status: Ongoing. **Partially addressed by 6JSC/ROFWG/2.**

5. Monitor and liaise with any further activities related to 6JSC/ALA/Discussion/1 by ALA's Task Force on Machine-Actionable Data or its successor.

Status: Ongoing. Two members of the Group, John Attig and Gordon Dunsire, are members of the Task Force.

6. Investigate the application of the ROF, and specifically the Character attribute, to the RDA element "Nature of the content" and the potential element "Nature of the work".

a. Review the current provision for categorization of resources expressed through movement, taking into account the CCC response to Q1 in 6JSC/LC rep/4.

Status: Ongoing. Subtask a. is **partially addressed by 6JSC/ROFWG/2.**

Submitted by: Gordon Dunsire, Chair, JSC RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group

Date: 13 October 2014

## 2014 report of the JSC Technical Working Group

The Terms of Reference for the Group were published as 6JSC/Chair/11 on 9 January 2014. The membership was revised once; the current Membership and Tasks are published as 6JSC/Chair/11/2014/Rev/1.

The Group established a shared documents folder on Google Drive and a private listserv using the ALA Digital Reference infrastructure, and thanks James Hennelly for his assistance and support.

### Submissions to JSC

The Group submitted four proposal documents for the JSC meeting in November 2014:

- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/1** (Meta-metadata elements in RDA)
- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/2** (Note and related elements in RDA)
- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/3** (High-level subject relationship in RDA)
- **6JSC/TechnicalWG/4** (Court and Jurisdiction in RDA)

### Status of tasks

1. Review and update the RDA Element analysis documentation in 5JSC/RDA/Element analysis/Rev/3.

Status: Ongoing.

2. Monitor the need for value vocabulary representations of the RDA Toolkit relationship elements and designators, following recommendation #7 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3.

Status: Ongoing. Members of the Group monitor relevant developments in related standards.

3. Investigate the issue of "cataloguer-friendly" and "user-friendly" labels in metadata based on the FRBR/FRAD models using the RDA Element set and Relationship designators, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3 and the responses from BL and DNB.

Status: Ongoing. The Group has had preliminary discussions about the need for generic approaches to the treatment of labels in RDA, and the need for a high-level policy.

4. Review the relationship between the RDA elements "court" and "jurisdiction" and the FRBR entity "Corporate body", and the definitions of the relationship designators "appellant", "appellee", "court governed", "enacting jurisdiction", "jurisdiction governed" and any other relevant designators, following recommendation #3 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3/Appendix 5.

Status: **Completed by 6JSC/TechnicalWG/4.**

5. Disambiguate the labels for the relationship designators "founded corporate body" and "sponsored corporate body" using the FRBR/FRAD entity, following recommendation #10 in 6JSC/CILIP rep/3/Appendix 5.

Status: **Completed.** The Task is folded into the general re-organization of Appendix K designators.

6. Explore the issues related to "statements" as aggregates of RDA elements and make proposals based on findings.

Status: Ongoing. There has been some informal discussion between members of the Group, but not the Group as a whole.

7. Review the various needs for data about data and missing elements noted in 6JSC/Chair/9 and make proposals based on findings.

Status: **Completed by 6JSC/TechnicalWG/1 and 6JSC/TechnicalWG/2.**

**Additional task**

The group added a task to inform the technical aspects of 6JSC/ALA/31.

\* Investigate the high-level modelling of subjects in RDA, and make proposals based on findings.

Status: **Completed by 6JSC/TechnicalWG/3.**

Submitted by: Gordon Dunsire, Chair, JSC RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group

Date: 13 October 2014

## **2014 report of the JSC Examples Editor**

### **Activities:**

Provided examples review for approved JSC proposals and for fast tracks (FTs) by JSC representatives. Examples provided for 6JSC/ALA/24 and BL/13/LC follow-up. Examples changed in 2.7.6.7 for JSC Secretary FT. Examples changed in chapters 20, 25, and 27 as a result of approved JSC proposals or FTs. Example FTs prompted by these parties were submitted for chapters 2, 6, 9, and 11: ALA, BL, JSC Secretary, LC, the JSC Music Working Group, the RDA-L discussion list, and the RDA Toolkit Feedback feature.

The first draft of the Examples Guide was submitted in June 2014. It was decided that it would be included as an appendix to the Editor's Guide. A revised version of the Examples Guide was sent out by the JSC Secretary on September 18th with a request for comments before the November 2014 JSC Meeting.

### **Ongoing Tasks:**

1) Review of instructions for changes needed because of initial articles  
Approximately 40 instructions in chapters 0, 6, 9, 25, and 27 have either been corrected or are currently proposed for corrections because of initial articles issues. 84 instructions in chapter 6 still need to be checked for initial article issues, and these may prompt example changes in other chapters. Instructions in chapter 6 without examples or without examples impacted by initial articles are not include in the total of 84. For 2014, I have considered drafting the Example's Guide, reviewing these examples, and reviewing new examples from proposals or fast tracks to be the highest priorities.

2) Review of new and modified examples for Toolkit updates  
This is an ongoing task that will never be completed by its nature.

3) Revision of complete examples on RDA website  
This should be an ongoing yearly task coinciding with the instruction updates from approved proposals. Unfortunately, I have been remiss in doing this for 2014 because of other tasks which seemed to be more pressing. Guidance from the JSC about the priority of this task versus others would be appreciated.

### **New Tasks:**

1) Review example box placement  
In the course of writing LC's proposals this year, I noticed that example boxes that did not illustrate the instruction directly above them are a widespread phenomenon in chapter 6. Although the RDA rewording was not supposed to affect examples, by breaking up single paragraphs into separate paragraphs, sometimes the rewording caused the example box to no longer appear directly underneath the instructions it supposed to illustrate. I would like to review the example box placement for all instructions. For chapter 6, this can be done in conjunction with reviewing examples for inclusion of initial articles. For other chapters, this can be done in both in a systematic way and intermittently in conjunctions with FTs. This task is not as daunting as it sounds because there are some chapters with few examples, and there are no examples in Appendix B, C, G, and I-L.

2) New formats for complete examples

This is a task that the JSC Chair asked the JSC Secretary and me to work on. Unfortunately, other pressing RDA-related issues have delayed our start on this. Both an ISBD and a more free-form presentation with entity attributes grouped are desired for inclusion.

### 3) Assessment of gender ratio in examples

The number of examples representing women as creators, contributors, etc. in RDA is of increasing concern to me. If linguistic and cultural diversity is desirable in examples, I would assume that gender diversity is also important. The most important quality in an example is clear illustration of the instruction. However, when examples of men and women could both clearly illustrate an instruction, there is no reason not to include both. There are several instruction areas where it seems difficult or impossible to find examples representing women or their works (e.g., 9.2.2.16, 6.2.2.5, or 6.16.1.3.3). There are also many instructions areas where gender is not a factor in the examples (e.g., 16.2.2.4 or 3.1.4.1). However, there are several example boxes in which it seems viable to provide examples showing persons of both genders, but only men are represented. I did a quick survey of new examples proposed in 2014 JSC proposals, and I found that only 16.5% of them include examples with women (this survey excluded examples boxes where a person would not have been part of the example). Of the many instructions with new examples proposed, only three seemed to me to be difficult to find examples of women for. I am not sure how much this is an issue with existing examples, but it is an issue I would like to monitor for new and existing examples.

### **Ongoing Challenges:**

#### 1) Non-Latin script examples

Maintaining the non-Latin script examples, especially those that read right-to-left remains an ongoing challenge. Sometimes when RDA has been updated, the non-Latin script examples changed even though no revisions were made to those examples or their associated instructions. The switch to the new CMS authoring tool has also caused problems with these examples, but I am cautiously optimistic that these issues will be resolved rather than being an ongoing problem. The continuing assistance of several LC catalogers in verifying the correct forms is greatly appreciated. The JSC Secretary's continued diligence in monitoring these examples with each Toolkit update is also appreciated. Although it is desirable to include more non-Latin script examples in RDA as part of internationalization, the current difficulties in maintaining existing non-Latin script examples make this impractical at this time. This situation should be revisited after the first Toolkit update of 2015.

#### 2) Changes in instructions and relationship designators

Updating contextual examples at the same time as changes in related instructions and relationship designators are made is not always successful. Proposals and fast tracks may identify some, but not all examples requiring revision. Examples changes at the element instruction and in the corresponding authorized access point instruction are usually noted, but examples changes in other instructions, especially the "variant" instructions and relationships instructions are often not proposed. Thus, the Examples Editor reviews not only the examples changes proposed but assesses the impact on all other examples. The amount of examples in RDA makes this a potentially time-consuming task. In 2014, three rounds of examples changes prompted by relationship designators were made for chapters 24-29, including two rounds of changes for the October updates. (In 2013, changes to

relationship designators in chapters 24-29 were made for two of the three Toolkit updates.) Would it be possible for the JSC reps to include an impact on existing examples as part of the FT proposal? The Examples Editor could submit the changes to examples.

### 3) Language diversity in examples

This issue will be discussed in at the meeting as a separate agenda item. The language directive for the three Example Groups has been simply that one English language example must be included, and other languages are desirable when appropriate. I assume that RDA will be translated into more languages as it is adopted by new agencies. It seems reasonable to allow the translations teams discretion to replace and translate examples to fit the needs of their Toolkit users. RDA does not include examples in all languages spoken in the countries of the JSC reps now, and to attempt to do so would dramatically increase the length and cost of maintaining RDA. Inclusion of examples written in notated sign languages is also probably unrealistic in the current Toolkit.

Submitted by: Kate James, JSC Examples Editor

Date: 10 October 2014

## **2014 report of JSC Liaison to EURIG**

EURIG's annual member's meeting was hosted by the Austrian Library Network in Vienna, on 25th April. The minutes of the meeting can be found on the group's Website: <http://www.slainte.org.uk/eurig/events/2014meeting.html>

Verena Schaffner (Austrian Library Network) Chair of EURIG gave a presentation about EURIG to the IFLA Satellite Meeting in Frankfurt, 13th August:  
<http://tinyurl.com/oxdvjki>

During 2014 EURIG has welcomed three new member institutions:

Ankara University, Department of Information and Record Management /  
University and Research Librarians Association in Turkey

Hacettepe University, Department of Information Management (Ankara,  
Turkey)

RERO (Library Network of Western Switzerland)

The results of the 2013 survey on adoption of RDA were published on the Website:  
[.http://www.slainte.org.uk/eurig/docs/EURIG\\_Survey-2013\\_v1\\_0.pdf](http://www.slainte.org.uk/eurig/docs/EURIG_Survey-2013_v1_0.pdf). An article analysing the 2012 and 2013 surveys has been accepted for publication in LIBER Quarterly.

EURIG members reviewed RDA proposals for 2014 and have submitted responses to the following papers:

6JSC/ALA/36/EURIG response

6JSC/Chair15/rev/2/EURIG response

6JSC/LC/29/EURIG response

EURIG members have contributed to the work of the JSC Working Groups:

Places: Christian Aliverti (National Library of Switzerland)

Music: Anders Cato (Sweden)

RDA/ONIX: Françoise Leresche (BNF)

Technical: Marja-Liisa Seppala (National Library of Finland); Ricardo Santos  
Muñoz (Biblioteca Nacional de España)

EURIG intends to respond to the CoP consultation on governance and future development.

Submitted by: Alan Danskin, JSC liaison to EURIG

Date: 15 October 2014



## **2014 report of JSC Liaison to FRBR Review Group**

The liaison was able to attend the two meetings of the FRBR Review Group held in Lyon, France, during IFLA 2014.

The second meeting was devoted to a presentation and discussion on the preliminary outcomes of the project to consolidate the FRBR, FRAD, and FRSAD models. There are a number of proposals which will have a significant impact on RDA. A verbal report based on the presentation will be given to JSC during its November meeting. The FRBR Review Group is interested in the JSC's reaction to the proposals.

The FRBR Review Group is liaising with the IFLA Genre/Form Working Group of the Classification and Indexing Section.

The PRESSoo extension to FRBRoo for serials has been approved by the Working Group on FRBR/CRM Dialogue, and will now go for formal approval using a new procedure for IFLA standards. The FRBRoo element set is expected to be added to the Open Metadata Registry by the end of 2014.

The FRBR Review Group agreed to develop a protocol with the JSC, based on the preliminary draft of the JSC Chair. The Review Group will develop an amended version and send it to the JSC for consideration in due course.

Submitted by: Gordon Dunsire, JSC liaison to FRBR Review Group

Date: 13 October 2014

## 2014 report of JSC Liaison to ISBD Review Group

A *Protocol between the JSC and the ISBD Review Group* was published as **6JSC/Chair/13** in February 2014. **6JSC/Chair/13/Shared documents** lists documents shared between the JSC and the ISBD Review Group.

The protocol allowed the JSC Chair to act as a substitute for the JSC Liaison to the ISBD Review Group during a period of unavailability without the need for further arrangements. The current JSC Chair is already a liaison to the ISBD Review Group for another community, and was able to attend the Review Group meetings in Lyon, France, during IFLA 2014.

Version 1.0 of *ISBD Profile in RDA: Constructing Functionally Interoperable Core Records* was approved by the IFLA Cataloguing Section and published in December 2013.

The ISBD Review Group approved version 3 of the *Alignment of ISBD elements with RDA elements*, and an *ISBD to RDA map in RDF* based on the Alignment, during the Lyon meetings. Version 3 updates the alignment with the unconstrained elements from the RDA Registry and the April 2014 update of RDA Toolkit.

The ISBD Linked Data Study Group is developing an alignment between the ISBD and FRBR element sets which is scheduled for approval by the beginning of 2015. IFLA's Cataloguing Section held a meeting at IFLA 2014 on the future strategy for ISBD. Two scenarios were selected for further investigation by the ISBD Review Group:

- "Continue IFLA's ISBD work at the same level as now including developing and maintaining current consolidated edition. Maintaining or developing new tools to make ISBD more attractive in a linked data world. Continue harmonization and mapping to RDA ... including evolution towards a new structure reflecting the FRBR model."
- "Continue IFLA's ISBD work with the purpose in the long run to make the consolidated edition shorter, simpler and more principal and maybe at one time also to consider a new structure reflecting FRBR. Implement the necessary changes in ISBD Linked Data tools in order to implement the changes. Harmonization to RDA-rules is done whenever good solutions can be found."

The representative of the ISBD RG on the JSC RDA/ONIX Framework Working Group is Mirna Willer, Chair of the ISBD Review Group. An RDF map from ISBD content and carrier types to the Framework was approved during the Review Group meeting at IFLA 2014.

Submitted by: Gordon Dunsire, JSC Chair, for JSC liaison to ISBD Review Group  
Date: 13 October 2014