



Welcome to the EURIG
Members Meeting 2014!

Agenda



| | |
|-------------|---|
| 9.30-9.40 | Welcome and introductions |
| 9.40-10.10 | Minutes of the previous meeting and matters arising |
| 10.10-10.20 | Membership Update |
| 10.20-10.40 | Update on JSC activities |
| 10.40-11.00 | <i>Coffee Break</i> |
| 11.00-11.20 | Reports of EURIG and JSC Working Groups |
| 11.20-12.00 | JSC responses to EURIG Discussion Papers |
| 12.00-12.30 | EURIG Discussion Papers/Proposals 2014 |
| 12.30-13.10 | <i>Lunch</i> |

Agenda



| | |
|-------------|---|
| 13.10-14.45 | Experiences with RDA implementation (2 Presentations + Discussion of Questions) |
| 14.45-15.30 | Presentation and Discussion <ul style="list-style-type: none">• ALN: Aggregates in the German-speaking countries• BL: Simplification of instructions and element set at 2.7-2.10 Production, Publication, Distribution and Manufacture Statement |
| 15.30-15.50 | <i>Coffee Break</i> <i>Group Photo</i> |

Agenda



| | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| 15.50-16.10 | Review of EURIG activity since 2011 |
| 16.10-16.40 | EURIG activity plan |
| 16.40-16.50 | EURIG Members Meeting 2015 |
| 16.50-17.00 | Announcements, etc. |
| 17.00 | Close |



Membership Update

- new representative of the National Library of Finland:
Marja-Liisa Seppälä
- new representative of the National Library of Spain:
María Jesús Morillo Calero
- new representative of the National Library of Sweden:
Katarina Synnermark
- new members
 - Tolga Çakmak (Hacettepe University, Department of Information Management – Ankara/Turkey)
 - Dr. Nevzat Ozel (Ankara University Department of Information and Record Management / University and Research Librarians Association Turkey – Ankara/Turkey)



Update on JSC activities



Reports of EURIG Working Groups

Reports of JSC Working Groups



- Music – EURIG representative: Anders Cato
<http://rda-jsc.org/working2.html#chair-614>
- Places – EURIG representative: Christian Aliverti
<http://rda-jsc.org/working2.html#chair-612>
- RDA/ONIX framework – EURIG representative: Francoise Leresche?
<http://rda-jsc.org/working2.html#chair-610>
- Technical matters – EURIG representative: ?
<http://rda-jsc.org/working2.html#chair-611>

Music



Membership (2014-2015)

- Anders Cato (European RDA Interest Group)
- Jean Harden (University of North Texas)
- Damian Iseminger (Chair, New England Conservatory)
- Kevin Kishimoto (University of Chicago)
- Daniel Paradis (Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec)
- Thomas Pease (Library of Congress)
- Raymond Schmidt (Wellesley College)
- Tracey Snyder (Cornell University)
- Valerie Weinberg (Library of Congress)
- Steve Yusko (Library of Congress)



Music

What has happened so far is that the group has divided several tasks among its members and the tasks are the following:

- What external music vocabularies should be considered? (Damian, Valerie)
- 6.14 Revisions (Damian, Valerie, Tracey, Ray, Daniel)
- 3.6 (Base Material) - Addition of Sub-Element for Base of Audio Recordings (Damian, Kevin, Thom, Anders)
- 3.7 (Applied Material) - Addition of Sub-Element for Applied Material of Audio Recordings (Damian, Kevin, Thom, Anders)



Music

- 6.28.3.1 Revision: Expand applicability of 6.27.3 to all musical expressions (Daniel, Damian, Jean)
- Conventional Collective Titles for Music (was: Glossary definitions for terms in 6.14.2.8.3-6.14.2.8.5 and 7.20) (Ray, Tracey, Kevin, Thom, Damian)
- 6.15 Revisions
- 6.16 Revisions
- New element for Medium of Performance of the Expression
- Review of 6.28.1-6.28.3 to determine if better placement in RDA is needed



JSC responses to EURIG Discussion Papers 2013



EURIG/Discussion/2

Illustrative content and other augmentations

[6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/2/JSC Response](#)

- „[...] the JSC is concerned not to put too much emphasis on IFLA’s *Final Report of the Working Group on Aggregates* until we have a better understanding of how the recommendations of that report will be incorporated into the consolidated FR model.“
- „[...] RDA correctly deals with illustrative content at the expression level.“

EURIG/Discussion/2



- “The JSC notes that RDA makes provision for resources embodying more than one expression of the same work. This is covered by Chapter 26. The Library of Congress/PCC Policy Statements at 6.27.3 and 26.1 provide additional guidance for cataloguers, and we would be interested in EURIG’s view on whether incorporating these, *mutatis mutandis*, into the instructions would improve the clarity of RDA.”
- “RDA [...] enables secondary content to be described in association with attributes of the primary content when warranted.”

EURIG/Discussion/2



- „Combine ‚primary‘ contents with ‚secondary‘ at the manifestation: [...] The JSC acknowledges [...] that the chapters on these relationships should offer more explicit guidance [...].“
- „The JSC does not believe it is desirable to move Illustrative content to Chapter 3.“
- „The determination about whether the role is that of a creator or a contributor depends on the relationship of the graphic content to the work. RDA rightly supports both approaches.“



EURIG/Discussion/3

Compilations of Works

[6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/3/JSC Response](#)

- „The approach proposed by EURIG would involve changes not only to RDA instructions, but also to the underlying data model [...].“
- „JSC would like to clarify that RDA does consider different compilations to be distinct aggregate works. [...] Even though RDA does say that the instructions for works also cover aggregate works, more examples of aggregate works could be added, especially at 6.27.1.9 to make this clearer.“



EURIG/Discussion/3

Preferred title for a compilation

- Optional addition for the aggregated works in a compilation
 - not required as covered in chapter 25 related works (provision of a reference to chapter 25 at 6.2.2.10)
- Identifying the aggregating work
 - RDA does not use „creator“ in the context of aggregating works; the creator of a compilation is the creator of its contained works

EURIG/Discussion/3



- Addition of an instruction (6.2.2.11) to cover compilations of works by different persons, families or corporate bodies
 - JSC will pursue this issue (see also 6JSC/ACOC/5/CCC response)
 - JSC prefers the addition of a reference to chapter 25 rather than an optional addition, and also prefers a less prescriptive approach to formulation of the devised title

EURIG/Discussion/3



- „JSC believes that most of EURIG’s requirements are already supported by RDA. JSC acknowledges that this is a complex area and RDA would benefit from further clarification by provision of references and the addition of instructions to cover compilations of works by different authors with no collective title.“
- „RDA 6.3 Form of Work does not currently require the use of a controlled vocabulary; however a controlled vocabulary may be used if an agency chooses to do so.“



EURIG/Discussion/3

Variant title for a compilation

- covered by RDA 6.2.3 Variant title for the work

Construction of the preferred access point

- „[...] the RDA model assigns principal responsibility for compilations to the creators of the content, not to the aggregator.“



EURIG/Discussion/3

Status of the compiler

- „JSC [...] is not convinced that aggregation of existing works warrants treatment as a creator.“
- „JSC [...] decided to extend the definition of ‚Editor‘ to encompass ‚compilation‘ and to deprecate ‚Editor of Compilation‘.

Description of the aggregated works

- „This area of change is based on the IFLA Working Group’s report, and JSC considers the discussion premature; the assertion that an aggregate is a manifestation has not been formally accepted.“



EURIG/Discussion/4

Representing Date of Works and Expressions in RDA

[6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/4/JSC Response](#)

- „JSC believes that the use cases and discussion provide a valuable basis for further work.“
- „[...] JSC did not accept the suggestion to create new aggregate elements ‚Chronological Information for Expression‘ and ‚Chronological Information for Work‘. The JSC would like to avoid the creation of additional aggregate elements, as these create complex semantics and are difficult to implement.“

EURIG/Discussion/4



- “The JSC takes the view that the issues raised in this paper further bear on the general issue of data about data. [...] These issues will therefore be referred to a new JSC Technical Working Group, whose charge will include recommending a generic solution to the issue of data about data to address specific problems of this type. EURIG will be invited to join this new JSC Technical Working Group.”



EURIG/Discussion/4

- „JSC notes that RDA does not specify how the *Date of Work* or the *Date of Expression* are to be recorded. In the absence of any specific guidance, agencies are free to structure the data to meet their own needs, using their preferred schema.”
- The JSC would also like to make EURIG aware that, as a follow on from last year’s proposal 6JSC/EURIG/2, a fast track proposal has been raised to revise the scope of 6.4.1 *Date of Work* and to bring it into line with the changes already approved for *Date of Expression*.”



EURIG/Discussion/5

Representing language of expression in RDA

[6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/5/JSC Response](#)

- „There was general agreement that the use cases have high value, but the JSC did not support the suggested solutions.“

Language in Which the Work Was Originally Expressed

- “There was a concern that this would create a precedent by which other attributes of the “original expression” would be associated with the work, resulting in duplication of data and adding complexity.“



EURIG/Discussion/5

Language of Expression Statement

- „Elements described as ‘Statements’ in RDA contain self-describing data found on the resource and are closely related to ISBD. The term has thus been used in relation to the manifestation only and JSC does not wish to generalize its use.”
- “[...] JSC decided to review ‘aggregate elements’ and, does not support the creation of new aggregate elements at this time.”

EURIG/Discussion/5



- “The JSC believes that certain of the attributes proposed as sub-elements would be better modelled as relationships between expressions; for example a dubbed version of a film would be a different expression of the work than a subtitled version. It was also suggested that it would be possible to propose a refinement of the ‘translation’/’translation of’ to indicate that the translation is direct or indirect. The JSC would welcome proposals for such relationships.”

EURIG/Discussion/5



Language of Content

- „The JSC acknowledges that (with current technology) the proposed solutions may not fully satisfy the use cases. However, the JSC notes that *Language of the Content* element is provided to enable agencies to record additional information about the language content of the resource. RDA does not specify how this additional information should be recorded and, in the absence of any specific guidance, agencies are free to structure the data to meet their own needs, using their preferred schema.”

EURIG Discussion Papers/Proposals 2014



- Addition of new relationship designators for serials
- Joint pseudonyms in RDA
- Carrier type for downloadable electronic resources



Experiences with RDA implementation

Experiences with RDA implementation



- RDA in the British Library – Alan Danskin
- RDA in the Netherlands – Daniel van Spanje



Discussion of Questions

1. Is the decision whether to implement RDA or not to be taken by your single institution or do you need to reach an agreement in order to a National/Regional implementation? In such case, does the budget of each and every library/institution allow the subscription? What if not?
2. Has your institution any agreement regarding the RDA conversion with the company responsible for your current ILS? In which ways does this ILS plan to cover the cataloguing changes?



Discussion of Questions

3. Has any institution considered a short-term change on the format due to the change on the instructions? Do the institutions see BIBFRAME as a real possibility for the near future?
4. What would your institution decide in the cases of single instructions from you current cataloguing rules that RDA doesn't cover (not the case of conflict between instructions, but the case where RDA keeps silent)?



Discussion of Questions

5. How will you implement carrier, media and content type? Only as codes, only as text or both code and text?
6. How will you deal with multi-parts? In Germany at the time being we have records for every volume linked to an own record for the multi-part title.



Discussion of Questions

7. How will you describe e-books in several file formats (the same content in several formats - PDF, ePUB)? Will you create one record for all file formats or a separate record for each file format? If you create one record, how will you structure information which applies for each file format? In National Library of Latvia we are realizing the experiment to create one record for several file formats using subfield |8, but it looks very complicated for cataloguers.



Discussion of Questions

8. Describing Production, Publication, Distribution, Manufacture, and Copyright Notice information (field 264) for e-books there is one questionable case - in e-book there is given only copyright date. We create one 264 field with second indicator 4 if the publication year matches with copyright date:

4 - 264 #4 |a Rīga : |b Eraksti, |c 2006.

(We don't use copyright sign in the subfield |c because of second indicator 4).



Discussion of Questions

The second option about which we are not sure is
- the usage of two 264 fields:

264 #1 |a Rīga : |b Eraksti, |c [2006] (this year we
assume as a year of publication)

264 #4 |c 2006

May be this is more correctly:

264 #1 |a Rīga : |b Eraksti

264 #4 |c 2006



Presentation and discussion of current implementation issues

Presentations



- Aggregates in the German-speaking countries – Bernhard Schubert
- Simplification of instructions and element set at 2.7-2.10 Production, Publication, Distribution and Manufacture Statement – Alan Danskin

Discussion Paper – what is your opinion?



“Certain information elements are modelled either as an attribute or as part of an attribute of one entity, although we believe they should rather be seen as a relationship between two entities. In a FRBR-based standard, it stands to reason that something which is an entity in its own right – like a person or a corporate body – should not be modelled as an attribute of another entity (or a part of it). However, exactly this situation can be found in a number of cases.”



Review of EURIG activity since 2011

Frankfurt, 2011



| Activity | Status |
|---|----------------------------|
| Discuss policy choices and adjustments of core elements and optional/alternative rules (potential working groups). Discuss issues related to RDA translations (potential working group) | Ongoing |
| Discuss the ISBD proposal to the next IFLA meeting and follow up by a proposal to JSC | Superseded by ISBD RG |
| Share experiences with FRBR-izing of data | Ongoing |
| Examine potential problems with FRBR in RDA and identify proofs of concepts | Technical Meeting Paris |
| Follow and take active part in the “Bibliographic Framework Transition Initiative | DNB, BL. Progress reports. |
| Arrange an informal RDA/EURIG meeting during the IFLA conference 2012. | Done. Helsinki 2012. |
| RDA Conference | Done. Stockholm, 2013 |

Paris Technical Meeting, 2012



| Activity | Status |
|---|--|
| Working Group on Aggregate Works established 2013 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/2 Illustrative content and other augmentations 2013 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/3 Compilations of Works | Not accepted. Premature. Not accepted, but issues acknowledged. LC to prepare proposal on compilations, 2014. |
| Internationalization | JSC introduced “RDA Community” to enable responses from outwith JSC constituencies |
| New element Type of Date of Expression Use cases developed Proposal 2012 6JSC/EURIG/2 Discussion Paper 2013 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/4 | Value acknowledged by JSC Change to scope accepted Referred to Technical WG |
| New element Type of Language of Expression Use cases developed Proposal 2012 6JSC/EURIG/3 Discussion Paper 2013 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/5 | Value acknowledged by JSC Core Status Accepted Not accepted |

Paris Technical Meeting, 2012



| Activity | Status |
|---|-----------|
| Revision for 6.18 to enable addition of the medium of performance and the identification of the arranger to criteria for identification of expressions 6JSC/EURIG/4 6JSC/EURIG/Discussion/1 | Withdrawn |
| Proposal to flag current instruction on facsimiles at 6.30.3.2 as an alternative for consistency with 6.24.1.4 (Austrian Library Network) | Corrected |
| Proposal for alternatives to permit construction of controlled access points without any prescribed order for elements or prescribed punctuation (BnF/Vatican Library) | No action |

Paris Technical Meeting, 2012



| Activity | Status |
|---|--|
| Proposal to generalise the instructions at 16.2.2 Preferred Name of Place. Recommendations for addition of examples to RDA 6JSC/ALA/19/EURIG Response Appointment to JSC Places WG | Ongoing Some bias removed, option provides more flexibility. Fundamental change required |
| Provide example(s) of performer at 6.12.1.1 and 6.27.1.1 (BnF) 2012 6JSC/EURIG/1 Fast Track addition of examples | Withdrawn Examples added 2013/11 |

Paris Technical Meeting, 2012 issues deferred to other agencies



| Activity | Status |
|--|---|
| Music format not to be subsumed in Designation of Edition 2012 6JSC/IAML/1 | Rejected by JSC at November, 2012 meeting. http://rda-jsc.org/docs/6JSC-IAML-1-JSC-response.pdf |
| Attributed works 2012 6JSC/BL/8 | Withdrawn BL intends to revisit the issue taking into account comments received in 2012. |
| Notes in RDA 2013 6JSC/ALA/Rep/6 Note on Manifestation and Item | Accepted with revision |



EURIG inputs to RDA

- Fast Track Proposals (via BL) = 2
- Proposals to JSC = 4
- Discussion Papers = 5
- Direct Responses = 5
- Indirect Responses via BL
- Participation in JSC Working Groups



EURIG activity plan

„To Dos“



| What? | Who? | Deadline? |
|---|---|--------------------|
| carrier vs. mode of access (outcome of discussion for „carrier type for downloadable electronic resources) + examples | Francoise (BNF) and Francois-Xavier (ISSN) examples: all | by the end of 2014 |
| review of JSC responses to EURIG/Discussion/2 and 3 | EURIG WG on Aggregates | 8 weeks |
| new task: EURIG WG on Aggregates – expression level | EURIG WG on Aggregates | by the end of 2014 |
| fast track proposal : addition of new relationship designators for serials | Alan | 8 weeks |

„To Dos“



| What? | Who? | Deadline? |
|---|---------------------|-----------|
| fast track proposal: definition of joint pseudonyms chapter 11: further clarification and need for proposal? | Alan | 8 weeks |
| Francoise will represent EURIG in the RDA/ONIX WG – contact JSC | Alan | 2 weeks |
| questions session – send responses to Verena | all | 4 weeks |
| evaluating platforms for sharing documents – EURIG Workspace | Executive Committee | 8 weeks |

„To Dos“



| What? | Who? | Deadline? |
|---|---------------------|------------------|
| review of activities have to be finalised and published | Executive Committee | 4 weeks |
| LIBER article – circulation of draft | Alan | 2 weeks |
| JSC proposals and discussion papers 2014 – will be available by the beginning of August → poll to gather what people want to comment on | Verena, Bernhard | ongoing |



EURIG Members Meeting 2015



Announcements



Thank you!