CHAT TRANSCRIPT for NARDAC Update Forum -- 2022/04/25

14:08:27   From becky Culbertson : What time zone is that for the PreConference? Eastern

14:12:31   From Jennifer Cabanero : will the PreConf be available virtually?

14:16:10   From James Hennelly : The Preconference times are Eastern Daylight. I do not believe the Preconference will be available virtually.

14:21:34   From Peter Fletcher : the registration for the preconference implies there is a remote option: Division Member: $119.00 advanced | $119.00 standard | $169.00 onsite

14:25:32   From Peter Fletcher : you must register for the ALA conference; you can't register for just the preconference: https://2022.alaannual.org/registration/ticketed-events#core

14:26:05   From James Hennelly : In this context the pricing levels refer to when the purchase is made, not to the type of access.

14:26:34   From Peter Fletcher : oh yes, you are correct. sorry

15:02:16   From Susan Rathbun-Grubb : For clarification, are MGDs = application profiles?

15:03:28   From Sherman Clarke   to   Hosts and panelists : Adam, can you talk about 5XX vs 373 for related corporate body?

15:03:58   From Seth Huber : Sorry if I missed this--How do the MDGs and LC-PCC-PSs work together, if they do? Are they taken in conjunction, does one take precedence over the other, etc.?

15:05:05   From Angela Quiroz : Are you planning to translate the MGD to other languages? (Special interest in the Spanish translation!)

15:06:18   From Clara Liao : To Susan, I don't think MGDs = application profiles. Original RDA application profiles are BSR and CSR. MGD are supplementary guidance with more examples.

15:06:50   From Susan Rathbun-Grubb : Thanks very much!

15:07:36   From Clara Liao : To Seth, yes, start with RDA standards and LC-PCC PS first, and then if one needs specific guidance/examples, one can explore MGD further.

15:07:54   From Heidrun Wiesenmüller : Have you considered keeping the MDGs in a wiki? I'm a little amazed to find they are separate PDF documents.

15:08:52   From Clara Liao : To Angela, we welcome other communities to translate the documents that we will use, including LC-PCC PS and MGD. But I think for LC, we are lack of resources to do the translation. :)

15:09:42   From Seth Huber : That makes sense; thanks Clara

15:11:00   From Angela Quiroz : Thanks, Clara

15:11:17   From Clara Liao : To Heidrun, ideally, MGD eventually will be published via XML platform and support web search, PDF printing, etc. For this initial version of MGD, with the due date of

the project and very limited resources, LC adopted PDF formats to get the documentation published on time to support following up RDA testing.

15:11:18   From Adam L. Schiff : Sherman - either 373 or 5XX is a legitimate way to record a relationship. However the use of 5XX has limitations: only entities established in the NAF may (currently) be recorded in a 5XX field, by NACO policy. So for uncontrolled entities, or terms taken from a different controlled vocabulary (such as LCSH, ULAN, etc.), only the 373 method may be used.

15:11:42   From Heidrun Wiesenmüller : Right. Thanks, Clara.

15:11:46   From Sherman Clarke : Thank you, Adam.

15:13:09   From Jasmin Shinohara : (We also see that there is preliminary pagination 🙂)

15:15:47   From Thurstan Young : Are there any plans to provide back links from MGDs into the Toolkit?

15:16:19   From miriam aguilar   to   Hosts and panelists : Saludos Melanie desde UASLP, México

15:16:26   From Susie Jones : it's quite easy to find instructions for the basic fields like title proper - but it gets more difficult to even figure the corresponding elemnt for instructions for eg the things you might put in fields like 240 for example: translations, conventional collective titles etc...will this kind of help be found in the MGDs?

15:17:09   From becky Culbertson : What about aggregates where the first item is something like: Preliminary contents by blah, blah. Do you really mean to use the first meaningful title for the 700 field?

15:18:50   From Blake Walter : If there isn't yet a crosswalk from numerically ordered MARC tags to RDA / MGDs, I hope one will be created. If there is such a crosswalk, where is it?

15:19:50   From Melanie Polutta : Susan, the policy statements more than the MGDs are the working out of an application profile. That's why the Core statements are in the PSs. We do however, hope to have a separate AP document at a later point.

15:21:15   From Melanie Polutta : @Seth, RDA gives the option, PSs state the decision, MGD gives the examples and some amplification. There should not be any contradiction between PS and MGD--if there is, bring it to our attention.

15:21:56   From Melanie Polutta : @Angela, we do not have plans to translate, but the documents are freely available.

15:22:02   From Susan Rathbun-Grubb : Will the recording link be sent out to us afterward? I will need to watch a few times, LOL

15:22:06   From Jasmin Shinohara : is editor an option?

15:23:30   From Melanie Polutta : @Susie, some of that will be in the MGDs. Over time, as we expand the documentation and the training materials, you will find more of that kind of help.

15:24:11   From Melanie Polutta : @Becky, No, we don't expect you to do that for Preliminary contents like "Introduction" or the like. It's the first meaningful content of the aggregate.

15:24:52   From Melanie Polutta : @Susan, the recording will be available on the RDA channel on YouTube.

15:25:34   From Melanie Polutta : @Blake, there is a good bit of training material that we hope to create. While I don't know that a crosswalk is exactly one we have in mind, there will probably be something similar.

15:26:41   From Melanie Polutta : @Thurstan, there are already lots of links in the MGDs to the Toolkit. A significant part of our proofreading process included checking the links!

15:28:50   From Susie Jones : Is "part work" equivalent to "expression manifested"?

15:29:08   From Susie Jones : sorry is part work equivalent to expression manifested?

15:29:42   From Melanie Polutta : No, there are definitely differences. The Aggregates MGD actually has some good explanation there in its introductory section that I would recommend you read.

15:29:53   From Susie Jones : okay thanks!

15:30:53   From Thurstan Young : When RDA Registry URIs are recorded for relationships will they be constrained or unconstrained?

15:30:58   From Jasmin Shinohara : Is "editor" an option for a relationship label? It would be easier/faster to use the language the work presents than trying to fit a peg in an RDA-defined hole

15:31:41   From Adam L. Schiff : Thurstan, I believe that PCC has decided upon unconstrained URIs. The MGDs show that in some places.

15:32:13   From Melanie Polutta : @Jasmin, see this MGD https://www.loc.gov/aba/rda/mgd/relationships/mg-relationshipsAgentWEMI.pdf

15:32:28   From Jasmin Shinohara : Thanks, Melanie

15:33:34   From Thurstan Young : 100 1# $a Stravinsky, Igor, $d 1882-1971, $e

composer. $4

http://www.rdaregistry.info/Elements/w/#P10442

15:33:35   From John Myers : Given the highly interconnected nature of RDA itself, but also between RDA and associated external guidance such as applications as represented by LC-PCC PSes and further guidance in the MGDs, can we reasonably expect a point at which we move out of the Hadean geologic phase of RDA development and enter a period of relative stability?

15:34:38   From Thurstan Young : From Relationships between Agents and WEMI entities MGD

15:35:42   From miriam aguilar   to   Hosts and panelists : thanks

15:36:08   From Valerie Buck : Where can we access a recording of this forum?

15:36:42   From Jasmin Shinohara : So Melanie, if "editor" is an option, is there a reason to dive deeper to find the "aggregator" label?

15:36:47   From Melanie Polutta   to   miriam aguilar and all panelists : Un gusto de "ver" Usted!

15:37:21   From Dana Van Meter : Valerie, yes, it will be on the RDA Toolkit YouTube channel.

15:37:43   From Adam L. Schiff : Thurstan, I believe PCC policy would be to use "has composer" unconstrainted element: http://rdaregistry.info/Elements/u/P60426

15:38:08   From Adam L. Schiff : 100 1# $a Stravinsky, Igor, $d 1882-1971, $e

composer. $4 http://rdaregistry.info/Elements/u/P60426

15:38:13   From Jasmin Shinohara : Thanks for the clarification, Bob, very helpful!

15:38:22   From Crystal E. Clements   to   Hosts and panelists : So should PCC catalogers be treating labels in the RDA Toolkit as decoys and completely ignoring them? Will the labels from MGD's be added to the Toolkit eventually?

15:39:05   From Adam L. Schiff : Crystal - the element names in RDA are not to be ignored, because otherwise you can't find the label that PCC says to use!

15:40:13   From Crystal E. Clements   to   Hosts and panelists : Ah, that makes more sense. I thought the element details listed labels

15:41:06   From Kathy Glennan : Note that the unconstrained elements are not in RDA Toolkit.

15:41:08   From Linda Barnhart : To clarify, RDA does have "element labels" however element labels should not be used as "display labels."

15:41:41   From Crystal E. Clements   to   Hosts and panelists : Why are unconstrained elements being recommended? Is that reasoning documented somewhere?

15:42:24   From Adam L. Schiff : This was a recommendation of a PCC task force

15:43:32   From Kathy Glennan : To reiterate what @Linda already said, the elements (and their names in RDA) are not the same as display labels. By using unconstrained elements, catalogers will be "dumbing-up" the data.

15:44:39   From Linda Barnhart : I think here should be further discussion about unconstrained/constrained. Using the unconstraind labels could be fine, but using the constrained URI would be much better. Otherwise, it "dumbs down" the data too much.

15:45:00   From Crystal E. Clements   to   Hosts and panelists : I wholeheartedly agree, Linda

15:45:10   From Adam L. Schiff : Agreed Linda. Maybe PCC needs to look at this again

15:45:23   From Kathy Glennan : +1 Linda

15:45:40   From Melanie Polutta   to   Crystal E. Clements and all panelists : Crystal, LInda couldn't see your reply. You are sending to Hosts and panelists.

15:45:50   From Crystal E. Clements   to   Hosts and panelists : oh no!

15:47:16   From Kathy Glennan   to   Hosts and panelists : There are two questions in Q&A that haven't been addressed.

15:48:32   From Kathy Glennan : There are 3 questions in Q&A that haven't been answered.

15:51:28   From Susie Jones : could there be a session on aggregates and their more complicated aspects? eg what parts of the description is work, what is expression, what if it say an collection or omnibus and i gets translated and so on? All the really fiddly things

15:51:36   From John Myers : To the extent possible, given that the Collections modeling emerged out of a seemingly innocuous revision proposal, are there any further major developments anticipated, along the lines of Diachronic, Aggregates, Collections?

15:51:43   From Jennifer Cabanero : when other sections of MGDs are completed/under construction to give another demo on that section

15:51:56   From Adam L. Schiff : MGDs are all done.

15:52:08   From Adam L. Schiff : They just aren't linked to Toolkit yet

15:52:12   From Kathy Glennan : @John, take a look at the charges to the current RSC Working Groups for an idea of what other changes could be coming down the pike.

15:52:16   From Thomas Brendorffer : @I expect lots of new things when Extent gets looked at (not soon though)!

15:52:43   From Kathy Glennan : Landing page for RSC Working Groups: http://www.rda-rsc.org/workinggroups

15:53:35   From Kathy Glennan : This was in response to an earlier question.

15:55:18   From Anna Slawek : Thank you everyone

15:55:19   From Charlotte Christensen : Thank you so much for a very informative and fascinating presentation. I'll be recommending to my colleagues that they watch the recording when it is available.

15:55:20   From Rebecca DeLio : thank you

15:55:23   From Kathy Glennan : Thanks, NARDAC!