|   | Recommendation  | Who              | When           | With  | Actions  | Progress   |
|---|---|------------------|----------------|-------|--|--|
| 1 |   |                  |                |       |  | August 2011  |
| а | In collaboration with the Committee of Principals, identify and engage a writer to undertake rewrites of the RDA instructions in clear, unambiguous, plain English. | JSC              | 01/10/20<br>11 | CoP   | Agree charge and recruitment process                       | Responsibilities     agreed by CoP and     Co-Publishers . |
| b | In collaboration with the U.S. RDA Test Coordinating Committee, prioritize the chapters to be rewritten based on information gathered during the U.S. RDA Test.     | JSC              | 01/10/20<br>11 | USTCC | Agree     criteria/priorities for     evaluation.          | • Done   |
| С | Begin rewrites of prioritized chapters  | "Copy<br>Editor" | 01/07/20<br>12 | JSC   | Pilot sample chapters     Evaluate rewording     Rewording | Not started  |

| d | Complete and publicize the online registry of RDA element sets and vocabularies. Ensure that the registry's information remains in synchronization with the RDA instructions.   | JSC | 01/01/20<br>12 | OMR<br>ALA<br>Pub. | Complete and publish vocabularies     Review and publish element set                   | 1. Work is in progress. http://www.rda- jsc.org/rdavoc.html 2. Element set has been reviewed. Work is continuing on technical issues. 3. Vocabularies are being published as definitions of terms are approved. Work is continuing on technical issues. |
|---|---|-----|----------------|--------------------|--|---|
| е | Clarify boundaries<br>between works,<br>expressions,<br>manifestations, and<br>items.   | JSC | TBD            |                    | Clarify scope with committee     Prepare guidance for copy editor.     Review examples | 1. Done   |
| f | Clarify differences in cataloguing resources with different modes of issuance.  | JSC | TBD            |                    | Clarify scope with committee     Prepare guidance for copy editor.     Review examples | 1. Done   |
| g | Specific Topics   |     | TBD            |                    | Review issues against current JSC activities.  | • Done  |
| İ | Clarify distinctions<br>between Field of activity<br>of the person (RDA 9.15)<br>and Profession or<br>occupation (RDA 9.16)<br>or merge the two<br>elements; identify<br>controlled vocabularies<br>for these elements or the<br>merged single element.<br>(MARC 372 and 374<br>fields) | JSC | TBD            |                    | Proposal required. CILIP volunteered to carry out preliminary research.                | CILIP has put forward a proposal to merge the elements. Constituencies have the opportunity to respond to the proposal  |

| ii  | Clarify the identification of elements applying to expressions.  | JSC | TBD | • | Analyse current identification                       | In progress. Results will be provided to the copy editor.                           |
|-----|--|-----|-----|---|--|---|
| iii | Discuss the need for identifying specific expressions and consider the possibility of an undifferentiated authorized access point for categories of expressions (e.g., for translations in the same language). | JSC | TBD | • | Constituencies are invited to put forward proposals. |   |
| iv  | Revise the instructions for authorized access points for conferences to clarify the requirements when the publications of a conference are being cataloged as a serial.  | JSC | TBD | • | Constituencies are invited to put forward proposals. |   |
| V   | Clarify the use of RDA ch. 17 in implementation scenarios that still use bibliographic records with attributes for multiple FRBR Group 1 entities.   | JSC | TBD | • | Training issue.                                      |   |
| Vi  | Clarify the instructions on production, publication, distribution, and manufacture statements (RDA 2.7 - 2.10). Also allow for repetition of these elements, rather than                                       | JSC | TBD | • | Constituencies are invited to put forward proposals. | LC believes (on the basis<br>of internal testing) that<br>this is a training issue. |

|      | giving notes about changes over time.  |     |     |                 |   |   |  |
|------|--|-----|-----|-----------------|---|---|--|
| vii  | Work with various specialized communities (e.g., moving image, music, rare materials, serials) to revise and add instructions.   | JSC | TBD | Commu<br>nities | • | Communities are encouraged to put forward proposals through their constituencies. |  |
| viii | For RDA ch. 6 and ch. 9 - 11, identify if elements (at the instructions for those elements) are included or not included in authorized access points.  | JSC | TBD |                 | • | This issue is closely related to recommendation 1.                                |  |
| ix   | At RDA 19.2, 19.3, 20.2, 21.2 - 21.6, and 22.2 - 22.4, provide links to the instructions for creating the authorized access points for the persons, families, and corporate bodies associated with the resource. | JSC | TBD |                 | • | Suitable for fast track.<br>Need constituency to<br>suggest.                      |  |
| Х    | Consider requiring the use of authorized access points for place associated with the corporate body (RDA 11.13) to allow more machine manipulation of this information.  | JSC | TBD |                 | • | Constituencies are invited to put forward proposals                               |  |

| xi  | Add more instructions about the use of contents notes and the information included in such notes (e.g., use of performer's name).                                      | JSC | TBD      |     | Constituencies are invited to put forward proposals                                       |          |  |
|-----|--|-----|----------|-----|---|----------|--|
| xii | Work with the cartographic community regarding appropriate relationship designators for persons, families, and corporate bodies associated with these resources.       | JSC | TBD      |     | Communities are<br>encouraged to put<br>forward proposals through<br>their constituencies |          |  |
| 2   |  |     | TBD      |     |   |          |  |
| а   | Define and publicize the process for updating RDA in the online environment.   | JSC | 30/9/11  | ALA | Develop fast track     process     Revise RDA policies     and procedures                 | 1.<br>2. | Fast track process agreed. In progress |
| b   | Make updates much more frequently than in the past.  | JSC | TBD      | ALA | Agree schedules with ALA Publishing.  | 1.       | In progress                            |
| 3   | Enhancements in <i>RDA Toolkit</i>   |     |          |     |   |          |  |
| а   | Work with ALA Publishing to integrate full examples into the RDA Toolkit, including examples for specialized communities (e.g., serials, rare books, music resources). | JSC | 31/12/11 | ALA | Establish new     examples group.     Quick win: publish     existing examples            | 1.<br>2. | In progress<br>In progress             |
| b   | Work with ALA Publishing to resolve the problem that the existing  | JSC | TBD      | ALA | Specify requirements  | 1.       | Done                                   |

| С | search labelled as "Core" retrieves instructions beyond "Core" and "Core Plus" elements.  Work with ALA Publishing to develop a  | JSC | TBD | ALA                              | Specify requirements.     Done.   |
|---|--|-----|-----|----------------------------------|---|
|   | search for only "Core" and "Core if" elements.   |     |     |                                  |   |
| d | Work with ALA Publishing to highlight the availability and usefulness of the Element set view so catalogers are aware that they can see all instructions for an element. | JSC | TBD | ALA                              | To be discussed with ALA     In progress  |
| е | Work with ALA Publishing on a way to indicate that RDA content and related documentation have been updated.  | JSC | TBD | ALA                              | To be discussed with ALA     In progress  |
| f | Provide mapping of RDA elements to other metadata schemas: MODS, MADS, METS, Dublin Core, etc.   | JSC | TBD | Other<br>standar<br>ds<br>groups | 1. METS is out of scope 2. Dublin Core mapping is dependent on completion of registry work 3. Discuss update/extension of MODS/MADS mapping with Editorial Committee.  1. Closed 2. Deferred 3. Not started |