6JSC/LC/25/rev/DNB response 4 October 2013 Page 1 of 1

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Christine Frodl, DNB Representative

Subject: Recording dates in more than one calendar (RDA 2.6.7.1, 2.6.9.1, 2.7.6.3,

2.8.6.3, 2.9.6.3, 2.10.6.3)

DNB thanks LC for this proposal. We agree with the proposal and have the following amendments:

<u>Change 1</u>: We think that the optional omission suggested here (and also at the other suggested corresponding paragraphs) is already covered by the newly proposed wording for the Core element.

Therefore we would like to propose an alternative wording:

"If dates appearing on the resource are represented in different calendars and <u>more than one</u> date is being recorded, record the dates in the order indicated by the sequence, layout, or typography of the dates on the source of information."

<u>Change 7</u>: Appendix D.1.1: Shouldn't be added four new rows, also one for "2.10.6 Date of Manufacture"?

General remark:

We regard it as highly important, that the date of the Gregorian calendar can be recorded, eventually also in addition to several dates on the resource. We require this date as the basis for order relevant numbering within machine-readable processes.