6JSC/CILIP rep/3/DNB response 1 October 2013 Page 1 of 1

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Christine Frodl, DNB Representative

Subject: RDF representation of RDA relationship designators: a follow-up discussion paper

DNB thanks Gordon Dunsire (CILIP) for preparing this follow-up discussion paper. We agree with the paper and all the recommendations. We also agree with the appendices 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

We would like to suggest changing the example on p. 9 for properties label "has preferred title for the work", because "literal" may be confusing; instead of "relationship designators" another work title should be inserted as an example.

Suggestion for recommendation 10: It should be discussed whether the RDF property that relates the label (without parenthetical qualifier) and the specific element should be named "hasMARCLabel", because those labels could be re-used by other metadata formats.