

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA
From: Christine Frodl, DNB Representative
Subject: Revision of RDA 2.3.1.7 (Titles of Parts, Sections, and Supplements) and RDA
2.3.2.6 (Collective Title and Titles of Individual Contents)

DNB thanks CCC for this proposal. We agree with the proposal and the suggested changes.

We welcome the presentation of the clear distinction between "comprehensive" and „analytical description" which should also be placed at other equivalent RDA instructions.

In our view, the sentence "Use a full stop to separate the common title from the title of the part, section, or supplement" at RDA 2.3.1.7 should be deleted. There are some more examples with ISBD punctuation in RDA that would need revision.

We would also welcome some more examples that comprise numbering, for example: Series II or 2. Tag

Question: Why do television and radio programs fall under an exception?