

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Kevin Marsh, ACOC Representative

Subject: Fuller forms of name: Revision of RDA 9.19.1.4 Optional addition

ACOC thanks BL for this proposal, but does not support the proposal to amend the optional addition at 9.19.1.4 to cover what should be included in the fuller form of name when it is added to an access point.

We read *9.19.1.4 Fuller form of name* as saying that the cataloguer can add the fuller form of name devised as specified at *9.5 Fuller form of name*. We do not consider it desirable to have different information recorded according to 9.19.1.4 and 9.5. We feel the fuller form of name should be the same whether it is being recorded simply as the fuller form, or included when that element is used in constructing an access point.

Therefore if the JSC determine that a change is needed to the instructions on creating the fuller form of name, that change should be introduced at *9.5 Fuller form of name*. There is some guidance in footnote 6 of the instruction that might be usefully included in the body of the instruction.

Although we note that this proposal has arisen from a recommendation of the *PCC Task Group on AACR2 & RDA Acceptable Heading Categories Final Report* (paragraph 5.4), we are not yet convinced of the need to be proscriptive in this matter and would prefer that it be left to cataloguer's judgment.