**To:** Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

**From:** Kevin Marsh, ACOC Representative

**Subject:** Revision of RDA 9.6

ACOC thanks BL for this proposal to extend the wording of CORE ELEMENT at 9.6 to include additional types introduced by 6JSC/BL/4. ACOC supports the proposal.

In its response to 6JSC/BL/4 ACOC suggested additional wording to eliminate a potential misreading of excluding fictitious non-human entities. This suggestion was incorporated into the revision of RDA 9.0 Purpose and Scope.

Change 1 would be improved if the proposed additional wording: "...a person named in a religious work, a fictitious or legendary person, or a non-human entity..." was altered to include explicit reference to real and fictitious non-human entities.

ACOC suggests the following wording: "...a person named in a religious work, a fictitious or legendary person, or a <u>real or fictitious</u> non-human entity...".