

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

From: Marg Stewart, CCC representative

Subject: **Title of person: change to 9.4.1 and 9.19.1.2**

CCC has reviewed 6JSC/BL/1 and has the following comments:

CCC does not disagree in principle with revising the scope of *Title of the person* to include other terms of address. However, further investigation indicates that the changes required to do so have impacts on instructions beyond those identified in BL/1, some of which are listed below. CCC would prefer that further analysis be undertaken prior to undertaking such an extensive revision.

1. CCC notes that AACR2 rule 22.19B is applied to distinguish between identical names if neither a fuller form of name nor dates are available. *Title of person* in RDA is a core element; if other terms of address are included in RDA, CCC feels that they should be considered core only when needed to distinguish between identical names. Revisions would be needed at 0.6.4, 8.3, and 9.4 to address the change to the core element requirement for *Title of person*.
2. Terms of address are considered an integral part of the name according to RDA 9.2.2.9.3 (Persons Known by a Surname Only) and 9.2.2.9.4 (Married Person Identified Only by a Partner's Name). We would be creating a level of complexity in order to address the situations covered at 9.2.2.9.3 and 9.2.2.9.4 where a term of address is considered an integral part of the name as opposed to an addition to the name.
3. While CCC notes that the scope of *Title of the Person* in RDA does not align exactly with FRBR/FRAD, CCC would prefer to retain the current scope. The arrangement of the instructions under scope reflect the scope as written; i.e., 9.4.1.4 Titles of royalty, 9.4.1.5 Titles of Nobility, 9.4.1.6 Popes, etc.
4. CCC agrees with the additional points raised by LC which further illustrate the difficulty of including other terms of address.