

**TO:** Joint Steering Committee for Revision of AACR  
**FROM:** Alan Danskin, BL Representative  
**SUBJECT:** Note on Manifestation and Item

---

The British Library thanks John Attig for this proposal to update the RDA Element Analysis tables, Registry and RDA text.

Changes to the RDA Element Analysis tables and the Registry

**Proposal 1A: Define the following elements in the Registry:**

**Note on Manifestation (Manifestation)**

An annotation providing additional information relating to manifestation attributes.

**Note on Item (Item)**

An annotation providing additional information relating to item attributes.

**Proposal 1B: Include the following in the Element Analysis table:**

Note on manifestation	element	manifestation	literal?	plain	n/a	n/a
Note on item	element	item	literal?	Plain	n/a	n/a

The British Library believes that the proposals are acceptable, so far as they go, but we think that there is a deeper problem. It should be possible to associate a note (or annotation) with any element and the note itself should have attributes, such as provenance. The "details..." elements are examples of this.

**Note on Manifestation or Item: element sub-types**

*Question: It is clear that these represent distinct sets of RDA instructions, but it is not equally clear that there is any advantage to treating them as formal element sub-types.*

*Should these be retained in the element set or deleted?*

If the sub-elements are deprecated in favour of instructions, it would result in a loss of granularity for indexing and discovery, etc. which we do not support. However, an annotation model (BIBFRAME & W3C are both developing models) could offer a more flexible and extensible approach. This would treat the annotation or note as an entity or class, which could then have its own attributes (such as provenance; applicability) and relationships.

### **Changes to the Outline of RDA**

Option 1 makes a clean grouping of attributes of manifestation and item, but requires renumbering several sets of instructions.

Option 2 requires minimal renumbering, but does not include notes in the lists of attributes of manifestation and item.

We think option 1 is clearer, but the level of change it introduces would not be justified if it is perceived as an interim measure. Option 2 might be seen as an incremental step towards an annotation model.

### **Changes to the Text of RDA**

2.20: Agree

2.21: Agree

3.22: Agree

3.23: Agree