

To: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA
From: Marg Stewart, CCC Representative to the JSC
Subject: Review of 6JSC/ALA Rep/3: Vocabularies: Extent terms.

The CCC rep responses are below.

Singular and plural terms.

Question no. 1: Should plural versions of the RDA terms be included in the Registry?

No.

Question no. 2: Should the plural terms be added explicitly to RDA or is the instruction to use plural forms sufficient to maintain consistency with the Registry?

No. The RDA instruction is sufficient.

Definitions.

Question no. 3: Do you agree that we do not need separate definition of the singular and plural forms, either in the Registry or in the RDA Glossary?

Agree.

Implied vocabularies

Question no. 4: Do you agree with my recommendation in the last sentence?

I do not think that it is necessary to repeat the carrier terms at RDA 3.4.1.3; however, I do have a more general question. Are the publishers still contemplating using the Registry vocabularies to populate the vocabularies in the RDA Toolkit? If yes, shouldn't the RDA vocabularies in the Registry align with what we want as RDA vocabularies in the RDA Toolkit?

Overlapping vocabularies.

Question no. 5: Do you agree?

Agree that it is not necessary to add an explicit list at 3.4.3.2. However, the question concerning alignment of the Registry vocabularies and RDA vocabularies is relevant here. (see question 4 response)

Fragments of extent statements.

Question no. 6: Do you agree with these recommendations?

My preference is to defer this pending the results of the CC:DA Task Force.

Order of terms.

Question no. 7: Does the order matter? Should we rearrange the list at 7.20.1.3 in alphabetical order?

I would prefer to leave the list at 7.20.1.3 as is.

Hierarchy of Format of Notated Music terms.

Question no. 8: Does this imply a hierarchy? Should the Glossary make a distinction between broader, narrower, and related terms? Should we try to build a hierarchy for this vocabulary either in the Registry, the list at RDA 7.20.1.3 or both?

I don't think we need to address this at this time.