

**To:** Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA

**From:** Gordon Dunsire, CILIP Representative

**Subject:** RDA Appendix K Revision and Expansion

CILIP thanks ALA for its proposed revision.

CILIP agrees with the proposal in general, but does not believe the sex of a person should be a factor in determining the designators; for example there should not be separate designators for "daughter" and "son", etc.

CILIP suggests that the proposal should be discussed in the context of 6JSC/CILIP rep/3.

CILIP notes the importance of relationship designators between "agents" (persons, families, corporate bodies) for archival and genealogical applications.