

# Memorandum

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

5JSC/CILIP/5/LC response

TO: Joint Steering Committee for Development of RDA DATE: Sept. 11, 2007

FROM: Barbara B. Tillett, LC Representative

SUBJECT: Removal of “Introductory words” instruction

LC agrees with the CILIP proposal to remove the instruction for “Introductory words, etc.” (2.3.0.5 in the first draft; 2.3.0.6 in the May 2007 editor’s draft) from Part A for the reasons CILIP cites; however, LC recommends that this same topic be included when discussing naming the work in Part B. It may be necessary to include exceptions or alternative rules for some situations of naming the work.

LC agrees with the CILIP suggestion to add another bulleted definition to 2.3.0.1. However, it wasn’t clear if CILIP was also suggesting that the other information in the instruction 2.3.0.5 in the May 2007 draft (2.3.0.4 in the first draft) was unnecessary. LC would prefer to keep the 2.3.0.5.1 instruction with the references to supplying explanatory other title information, etc., and the 2.3.0.5.2 instruction about determining if the name is an integral part of the title.

LC would welcome a reconsideration of the exception always to give the full form of the title proper when the title appears both in full as well as in the form of an acronym or initialism (2.3.1.5.2 in the May 2007 draft; 2.3.1.4 in the first draft). However, such a discussion needs to involve the ISSN and ISBD communities because there was agreement by both JSC and ISBD representatives when the ISSN community presented this exception in the harmonization meetings prior to the 2002 revision of all three standards.